

# CS 5090: Software Fault Tolerance – Predicate Logic

Ali Ebneenasir  
Department of Computer Science  
Michigan Technological University

S/W Fault-Tolerance – Ebneenasir – Spring  
2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Acknowledgement

- The contents of this lecture are adapted from

Anil Nerode and Richard A. Shore, "**Logic for Applications**", Springer-Verlag, 1997.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Basic Concepts - Predicates

- **Predicate**: relation between objects
  - Special case: unary relations specify property of objects
- **Predicate logic**: Logic of predicates
- Predicates could be n-ary
- What is 0-ary predicate?
  - Proposition
  - Statement of facts independent of variables

S/W Fault-Tolerance – Ebneenasir – Spring  
2008

MichiganTech

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

### Basic Concepts – Domain of Discourse

- Example:
  - Domain of natural numbers
  - Let  $\varphi(x, y)$  be “x is less than y”. Then
  - $\varphi(3, y)$  denotes a unary predicate
  - What does  $\varphi(3, 4)$  denote?
- x and y are variables
- 3 and 4 are constants
- Variables are placeholders for objects in a domain of discourse

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrenfest – Spring  
2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

### Basic Concepts – Domain of Discourse

- To reason about the relations between objects in a domain of discourse
  - Identify
    - the non-empty domain of objects
    - variables that range over the domain of discourse
    - constants that are the names of objects
- An **n-ary predicate** is an n-tuple representing a relation over n objects

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrenfest – Spring  
2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

### Basic Concepts – Functions

- A **function** takes one or more objects in the domain and generates another object of the domain
  - Example: addition on natural numbers in mathematical discourse
  - Is subtraction a function?
- Example: a ternary function  $f(x,y,z) = x.y + z$
- What is  $f(1,y,1)$ ?
- How about  $f(1,0,1)$ ?

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrenfest – Spring  
2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Basic Concepts – Functions vs. Predicates

- Predicates are relations
- Functions are specific relations
- 0-ary predicates  $\rightarrow$  propositions
- 0-ary functions  $\rightarrow$  constants
- **Term**: all the symbols generated by functions, constants and variables
- Predicate constructors:
  - Truth-functional connectives
  - Universal and existential quantifications

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrenfest – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Syntax - Symbols

- Distinct **primitive symbols** of a language
  - Variables:  $x, y, z, \dots, x_0, x_1, \dots, y_0, y_1, \dots$ 
    - may be infinite
  - Constants:  $c, d, c_0, d_0, \dots$ 
    - may be empty, finite or infinite
  - Connectives:  $\wedge, \vee, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$
  - Quantifiers:  $\forall, \exists$
  - Predicate symbols:  $P, Q, R, P_0, P_1, \dots$
  - Function symbols:  $f, g, h, f_0, f_1, \dots$
  - Punctuation: the comma,  $,$   $($

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrenfest – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Syntax - Terms

- **Term**: Symbol whose interpretation is an element of the domain of discourse
- Formal inductive definition:
  1. Every variable is a term
  2. Every constant symbols is a term
  3. If  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary function symbol, for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms, then  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is also a term.
- **Ground terms**: terms with no variables; also called *variable-free* terms
  - Constants and terms built up from constants by Rule 3

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrenfest – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Syntax - Formulas

- **Atomic formula:** an expression of the form  $R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  for a predicate  $R$  and terms  $t_1, \dots, t_n$
- **Formula:**
  - **Atomic** formula
  - $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ ,  $(\alpha \vee \beta)$ ,  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ ,  $(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$ ,  $(\neg \alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are formulas
  - $(\forall v \alpha)$ ,  $(\exists v \alpha)$ , where  $v$  is a variable and  $\alpha$  is a formula

S/W Fault-Tolerance – Ehrenasir – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Syntax – Some Definitions

- **Subformula:** a consecutive sequence of symbols that is a formula itself
- **Bound occurrence of a variable  $v$ :** if there is a subformula that begins with  $(\forall v)$  or  $(\exists v)$
- **Free occurrence of  $v$ :** if that occurrence is not bound
- **Free occurrence of  $v$  in a formula:** if  $v$  appears free at least once
- **Sentence:** a formula with no free occurrences
- **Open formula:** a formula without quantifiers

S/W Fault-Tolerance – Ehrenasir – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Syntax – Instantiation (substitution)

- $\varphi(v)$  means  $v$  **occurs free** in formula  $\varphi$
- $\varphi(v/t)$  is the resulting formula by **substituting** every free occurrence of  $v$  in  $\varphi$  with  $t$ ; also denoted  $\varphi(t)$ 
  - $\varphi(t)$  is called an **instance** of  $\varphi$
- **Ground instance:**  $\varphi(t)$  contains no free vars.
- **Substitutable:** if all (free) variables in  $t$  remain free in every occurrence of  $v$  in  $\varphi(v/t)$ , then we say  $t$  is substitutable for the variable  $v$  in  $\varphi(v)$

S/W Fault-Tolerance – Ehrenasir – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

### Example

- A language for the integers with constants 0 and 1
- Unary function  $\text{succ}(x)$
- Predicate  $P(x,y,z)$  represents the relation  $x+y = z$
- Is  $\phi = \forall x \exists y P(x,y,0)$  a sentence?
- Is  $\phi$  true? Why?
- How about  $\forall x \exists y P(\text{succ}(y),y,0)$ ? Is it true? Why?

S/W Fault-Tolerance – Ehrenasir – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

### More Examples

- Is  $((\forall x) R(x,y))$  a sentence? Why?
- How about  $((\forall x) ((\exists y) R(x,y)))$ ? Why?
- Is  $x$  free in  $((\forall x) R(x,y)) \vee ((\exists y) R(x,y))$ ? How about  $y$ ?

S/W Fault-Tolerance – Ehrenasir – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

### Syntax – Formation Tree

- Term formation trees: finitely branching trees labeled with terms satisfying
  1. Leaves are labeled with variables and constants
  2. Non-leaf nodes are labeled with terms  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$
  3. A node labeled with  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  has exactly  $n$  immediate successors labeled with  $t_1, \dots, t_n$
- The root of the tree is associated with the term

S/W Fault-Tolerance – Ehrenasir – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Semantics

- Context (domain of discourse)  $\rightarrow$  an interpretation of a language of predicate logic
- Meaning of predicates and functions
- Example:
  - Context  $\rightarrow$  natural number
  - Predicate  $\rightarrow$  "less than"  $<$
  - Function  $\rightarrow$  addition  $+$

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrenasir – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Semantics - Structure

- A **structure**  $S$  for a language  $L$  includes
  - A non-empty domain  $D$ 
    - Rational numbers
  - An assignment of an actual  $n$ -ary relation to each  $n$ -ary predicate symbol
    - A binary relation "less than or equal" ( $n = 2$ )
  - An assignment of each element of  $D$  to each constant symbol of  $L$ 
    - Constants  $c = 0, d = 1$
  - An assignment of an  $n$ -ary actual function  $D_n \rightarrow D$  to each function symbol  $f$  of  $L$ 
    - Multiplication, addition

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrenasir – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Semantics – Ground Terms

1. Each constant term  $t_c$  names an element  $e_s$  in the domain  $D$  of a structure  $S$
2. If terms  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  of the language  $L$  name the elements  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $D$ , and  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary function symbol of  $L$ , then the term  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  names the element  $f^S(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ , where  $f^S$  is the interpretation of  $f$  in  $S$

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrenasir – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Semantics - Sentences

- The **truth of a sentence**  $\varphi$  of a language  $L$  is defined w.r.t. a structure  $S$ ,
  - Assume that every constant in  $S$  is named by a ground term in  $L$
- $S$  **satisfies**  $\varphi$ , denoted  $S \models \varphi$

1) Let  $R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  be an atomic sentence

- $S \models R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  iff all the elements  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  in  $S$  that are named by the terms  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are related by the interpretation of predicate  $R$  in  $S$

S/W Faulk-Tolerance - Ehrenasir - Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Semantics - Sentences

2)  $S \models \neg\varphi$  **iff** it is not the case that  $S \models \varphi$

3)  $S \models (\alpha \vee \beta)$  **iff**  $S \models \alpha$  or  $S \models \beta$

4)  $S \models (\alpha \wedge \beta)$  **iff**  $S \models \alpha$  and  $S \models \beta$

5)  $S \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$  **iff**  $S \not\models \alpha$  or  $S \models \beta$

6)  $S \models (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$  **iff** ( $S \models \alpha$  and  $S \models \beta$ ) or ( $S \not\models \alpha$  and  $S \not\models \beta$ )

7)  $S \models \exists v \varphi(v)$  **iff** for some ground term  $t$ ,  $S \models \varphi(t)$

8)  $S \models \forall v \varphi(v)$  **iff** for all ground terms  $t$ ,  $S \models \varphi(t)$

S/W Faulk-Tolerance - Ehrenasir - Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

## Semantics – Some Definitions

- A sentence  $\varphi$  of a language  $L$  is **valid** (denoted  $\models \varphi$ ) if all structures satisfy  $\varphi$ 
  - i.e.,  $\varphi$  is true in all structures
- Let  $\Sigma$  be a set of sentences.
  - A sentence  $\alpha$  is a **logical consequence** of  $\Sigma$  (denoted  $\Sigma \models \alpha$ ) if  $\alpha$  is true in every structure that all members of  $\Sigma$  are true
  - $\Sigma$  is **satisfiable** if there exists a structure  $S$  in which all members of  $\Sigma$  are true; i.e.,  $S$  is a **model** of  $\Sigma$

S/W Faulk-Tolerance - Ehrenasir - Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

### Semantics – Some Definitions

- A formula  $\psi$  of a language  $L$  with free variables  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is **valid in a structure  $S$**  (denoted  $S \models \psi$ ) if  $S$  satisfies the universal closure of  $\psi$ 
  - Universal closure of  $\psi$  is obtained by putting a  $\forall v_i$  in front of  $\psi$  for every  $v_i$
- A formula  $\psi$  of a language  $L$  is **valid** if it is valid in every structure for  $L$
- What does “a structure  $S$  satisfies a formula  $\psi$ ” mean?

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrensis – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

### Semantics – Some Definitions

- Let  $\Sigma$  be a set of formulas of a language  $L$ .
- $\Sigma$  is **satisfiable iff** there exists a structure  $S$  such that all formulas of  $\Sigma$  are valid in  $S$
- $S$  is called a **model** of  $\Sigma$ .

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrensis – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

MichiganTech

### Semantics – Some Notes

- For a given structure  $S$  and a sentence  $\phi$ , either  $\phi$  or  $\neg\phi$  is true in  $S$
- For a given structure  $S$  and a formula  $\psi$ , it may be the case that neither  $\psi$  nor  $\neg\psi$  is valid in  $S$ 
  - Why?

S/W Faulk-Tolerance – Ehrensis – Spring 2008

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Examples

- Structure  $S_1$ 
  - *Domain*: natural numbers
  - *Predicate* R: “less than” (denoted  $<$ ) relation
- Is the sentence  $(\forall x) (\exists y) R(x, y)$  true in  $S_1$ ?
- Structure  $S_2$ 
  - *Domain*: rational numbers
  - *Predicate* R: “less than” (denoted  $<$ ) relation
- Is  $(\forall x) (\forall y) (R(x, y) \rightarrow ((\exists z) (R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y))))$  true in  $S_2$ ?
- Is  $(\forall x) (\forall y) (R(x, y) \rightarrow ((\exists z) (R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y))))$  true in  $S_1$ ?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---