Problem 8.34 Understanding Rheology

Faith A. Morrison 23 April 2008

This problem references the Rouse model. The Rouse model is one of the classic molecular models. There is an excellent discussion of the Rouse model in Ronald G. Larson’s book “Constitutive Equations for Polymer Melts and Solutions” (Butterworths, 1988). The figures and text attached to this note are from this book.

The physical system that is the basis of the Rouse model is shown in Larson’s Figure 8.1 below. The inertia and drag of a polymer chain is captured in beads connected by linear springs. Larson derives the constitutive equation and the linear viscoelastic spectrum, the $g_i$, $\lambda_i$.

$g_i = G_0 =$ constant for all values of $i$

$\lambda_i = \lambda_0 / i^2$ for all values of $i=1, 2, 3, ...$

(see discussion around equations 2-71 and 2-72)

---

*Fig. 2-6* (a) The Rouse beads and springs model for a polymer molecule; (b) the elastic dumbbell.
As Larson discusses, this linear viscoelastic spectrum results in $G'$ and $G''$ that are equal to each other and proportional to the square root of frequency at high frequencies. This is what I have asked you to show by plotting the spectrum given in problem 8.34. You may choose anything you like for $G_0$ and $\lambda_0$. Your plot should look like Larson’s Figure 2-7.

Some real systems show this behavior as can be seen in Larsons Figure 4.3 for a concentration of 0.124. Other materials are different as seen at other concentrations and in Figure 8-1, but the discussion of their behavior is in terms of deviations from Rouse behavior; thus knowing what Rouse behavior is and where it comes from is important in polymer solution and melt rheology.

*Fig. 4-8* $G'$ and $G'' - \omega \eta_s$ versus frequency for polystyrene of molecular weight 267,000 dissolved in chlorinated diphenyl at the concentrations shown (in gm/cm$^3$). Ordinates are shifted vertically (from Holmes, et al.,$^{12}$ reprinted from J. Polym. Sci., ©1971 by permission of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.).
Fig. 8.1 Linear viscoelastic data for polystyrene in two theta solvents. For frequencies $\lambda \omega$ greater than 10, $G'$ and $G''$ scale as $\omega^{5/4}$, in disagreement with the Rouse theory, which predicts a proportionality to $\omega^{1/2}$ in this regime. Here $G'_R = G'/n_kT$ and $G''_R = (G'' - \eta_0\omega)/n_kT$; $\lambda = [n]\eta_0 M/N_\lambda kT$ (from Johnson et al., reproduced with permission from Polym. J.).
2.7 THE ROUSE MODEL

2.7.1 The Langevin Equation

We now return to the Rouse multiple-spring-bead model of Figure 2-6a. In this case, the Langevin equation for the end-to-end vector of a given spring is coupled to the equations for the neighboring springs, because beads attached to the given spring feel forces from the neighboring springs. The average Langevin equation, the counterpart to Equation 2-40 for the dumbbell model, is

$$\sigma \left( \frac{\dot{R}_i}{\dot{r}} - \nabla \nabla \right) + kT \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij} \left[ 2 \beta R_{ij} + \frac{\partial \log \psi}{\partial R_{ij}} \right] = 0 \quad (2-50)$$

where

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j \\ -1 & \text{if } i = j + 1 \text{ or } i = j - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2-51)$$

and $R_j$ is the end-to-end vector of the jth spring in the chain. $N$ is the number of springs. Equation 2-50 can be thought of as a matrix equation with off-diagonal terms.

2.7.2 Normal Mode Transformation

Rouse discovered a transformation that diagonalized the matrix equation into uncoupled equations, that is, into $N$ normal modes:

$$\sigma \left( \frac{\dot{R_i'}}{\dot{r'}} - \nabla \nabla \right) + 4kT \sin^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{2(N+1)} \right) \left[ 2 \beta R_{i'} + \frac{\partial \log \psi}{\partial R_{i'}} \right] = 0 \quad (2-52)$$

where $R_{i'}$ is a transformed end-to-end vector. The first mode, $i=1$, corresponds to cooperative relaxation of the entire chain. The other normal modes, $i=2,3,...$, correspond to relaxations of successively smaller portions of the chain. An analogy can be drawn to a vibrating string, which has a fundamental mode corresponding to vibration of the whole string, and a series of higher harmonics that correspond to vibrations of successively smaller fractions of the string.

The probability flux is the sum over the contributions from all modes:

$$\frac{\dot{J}}{\dot{\psi}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \dot{R}_{i'} \quad (2-53)$$

which can be substituted into the probability continuity equation, Equation 2-42. Because each mode is independent, the probability distribution has a factorable solution:

$$\psi(R_{1'}, R_{2'}, ..., R_{N'}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \psi_i(R_{i'}) \quad (2-54)$$

Each $\psi_i$ satisfies its own Smoluchowski equation:

$$\frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( R_{i'} \nabla \psi_i \right) - 4kT \sin^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{2(N+1)} \right) \left[ 2 \beta R_{i'} + \frac{\partial \log \psi_i}{\partial \psi_i} \right] = 0$$

2.7.3 The Stress Tensor and Constitutive Equation

The polymeric contribution to the stress tensor is a sum of contributions to all the springs:

$$\sigma_{ij} = 2\beta kT \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle R_{i} R_{i} \rangle$$

It is a property of the normal-mode decomposition that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle R_{i} R_{i} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle R_{i'} R_{i'} \rangle$$

Thus the stress can be broken down into a sum of contributions:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{ij}$$

each contribution coming from a distinct normal mode:

$$\sigma_{ij} = 2\beta kT \langle R_{i'} R_{i'} \rangle$$

Because the distribution function, $\psi_i$, associated with mode $i$ satisfies a Smoluchowski equation similar to the Smoluchowski equation for dumbbells, $\sigma_{ii}$ an upper-convected Maxwell equation (see Section 1.6.2),

$$\nabla \psi + \frac{1}{\lambda_i} (\psi - G) = 0$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \frac{kT}{16kT \beta \sin^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{2(N+1)} \right)} , \quad G = \nu kT$$

Equations 2-58, 2-60, and 2-61 therefore constitute the constitutive equation Rouse model.

2.7.4 Approximation for Slow Modes

In the Rouse constitutive equation, there are $N$ independent contributions to the stress tensor, each having its own relaxation time, $\lambda_i$. For $N$ large, the many long relaxation times for which $i \ll N$, and therefore
\[
\sin^2 \left( \frac{i\pi}{2(N+1)} \right) \approx \frac{1}{4(N+1)^2} \tag{2-62}
\]

The longer relaxation times are therefore approximately
\[
\lambda_i \approx \frac{\sigma(N+1)^2}{4\pi^2 \beta^2 \nu kT}, \quad G_i = G = \nu kT. \tag{2-63}
\]

This equation for \(\lambda_i\) shows an apparent dependence on \(N\), the number of submolecules into which the chain is subdivided. \(N\) is not a purely molecular property, but depends on how many beads and springs one chooses to describe the molecule. Fortunately, the apparent dependence of \(\lambda_i\) on \(N\) disappears. This is so because for a given polymer molecule, as one increases \(N\), the number of submolecules, the fully extended length of each submolecule decreases as \(1/N\). Then \(\beta^2\), which is proportional to the mean square end-to-end distance of the submolecule, is proportional to \(1/N\). Also \(\gamma\), the bead friction coefficient, is inversely proportional to \(N\), since as one increases the number of beads used to describe a given polymer molecule, the amount of friction that must be assigned to each bead decreases. Equation 2-63 can therefore be rearranged to a form that shows no dependence on \(N\):
\[
\lambda_i \approx \frac{\sigma_0 \beta^2 \nu kT}{6\pi \eta_0} = \frac{6(\eta - \eta_i)}{\pi \eta_0 \nu kT}. \tag{2-64}
\]

Here \(\sigma\) is the number of monomers in the whole chain, \(\ell\) is the monomer length and \(\sigma_0\) is the friction coefficient per monomer. \(\eta - \eta_i\) is the polymer contribution to the shear viscosity. The second equality in 2-64 is derived from the relationship \(\eta - \eta_i = \nu kT \sum \lambda_i\) and the identity \(\sum \lambda_i = 1/\beta^2 = \pi^2 \lambda_i/6\).

This equation for \(\lambda_i\) is only valid if \(i/N\) is small. For large \(i/N\), that is, for modes that describe the relaxation of only a few submolecules, the approximation in Equation 2-64 does not hold, and \(\lambda_i\) for each of these modes depends on \(N\), the arbitrary number of submolecules. Thus the Rouse model is only valid for the longer relaxation modes.

Polymer motions not described by the Rouse model include bond rotations and coordinated movements of several backbone atoms. These motions are sometimes called “glassy modes” since they account for much of the relaxation that occurs in bulk polymers that have been vitrified, i.e., cooled to the point of solidification into a glass. The temperature at which a fluid vitrifies is called the glass transition temperature.

2.7.5 Assumptions of the Rouse Model

The assumptions underlying the Rouse model are:

1. Excluded volume and polymer-solvent interactions are neglected; the coil has a Gaussian equilibrium distribution of configurations.

2. The deformations are not so severe that they distort the chain conformation beyond the limit of Gaussian behavior. The distortions can thus be modeled by the displacements of a discrete set of frictional beads connected by submolecules that act as springs.

3. The frictional drag on a bead is proportional to the velocity of the minus the ambient solvent velocity, that is, the velocity the solvent would have in the absence of that bead.

4. The influence of the drag on any other beads in the ambient solvent is neglected; i.e., hydrodynamic interaction accounted for.

5. Brownian forces keep each bead in thermal equilibrium with the solvent; each bead maintains the Maxwell distribution of velocities corresponding to solvent temperature.

Finally, we have tacitly assumed that

6. The topological restrictions that prohibit one part of the chain from passing through another are neglected; the Rouse molecule is therefore a “phantom chain.”

For modest deformations, the weakest of these assumptions are the neglect of solvent effects and the neglect of hydrodynamic interaction. Corrections to these are discussed in Chapter 8. The effects of the phantom chain assumption are uncertain.

2.8 LINEAR VISCOELASTICITY

2.8.1 Distribution of Relaxation Times

2.8.1.1 The Rouse spectrum The Rouse model is more complete than the model of Green and Tobolsky, because it yields values for the relaxation time and modulus, \(G_i = G = \nu kT\), for all \(i\). These values constitute what is called a distribution or spectrum of relaxation times. The Rouse relaxation spectrum is distinctive feature of the model that can be tested experimentally. The most accurate types of experiment that tests this spectrum is the amplitude oscillatory deformation. This can be performed in shear, for example, the cone and plate geometry of Fig. 1-5, by an oscillatory rotation of the angle about its axis of symmetry. In this way the shear becomes a sinusoidal function of time:

\[
\gamma = \gamma_0 \sin \omega t
\]

Here \(\gamma_0\), the maximum shear strain, is called the strain amplitude; \(\omega\) is the frequency.

The response of the shear stress for the upper-convected Maxwell equals such a strain history, and hence the response of a single mode, say mode \(i\), Rouse model, is

\[
\sigma = \tau_0 \left[ G_i - \frac{\omega^2 \lambda_i^2}{\omega^2 \lambda_i^2 + 1} \sin \omega t + G_i \frac{\omega \lambda_i}{\omega \lambda_i + 1} \cos \omega t \right]
\]

The shear stress is therefore proportional to the strain amplitude, \(\gamma_0\), no how large the value of \(\gamma_0\). This result is a peculiar, and unrealistic, feature.
upper-convected Maxwell equation. Nevertheless for all constitutive equations that obey the postulates of viscoelastic simple fluid theory, and perhaps more to the point, for virtually all real polymer melts and solutions, the shear stress is proportional to $\gamma_0$ if $\gamma_0$ is small. The region of small $\gamma_0$ where $\sigma$ is proportional to $\gamma_0$ is called the regime of linear viscoelasticity, since $\sigma$ in this regime is linear in $\gamma_0$. Note in Equation 2-66 that the linear viscoelastic shear stress has two terms.* In general,

$$\sigma = \gamma_0 [G'(\omega) \sin \omega t + G''(\omega) \cos \omega t]$$  (2-67)

where $G'$ and $G''$ (the prime here does not denote differentiation) are called the storage modulus and the loss modulus, respectively. These names derive from the fact that the term involving $G'$ is in phase with the strain; that involving $G''$ is in phase with the strain rate. For a perfectly elastic material that stores all mechanical energy imparted to it, $G'' = 0$. For a Newtonian liquid, which instantly loses all mechanical energy, $G' = 0$.

For the Rouse model, Equation 2-60, we find

$$G'(\omega) = \sum_{i} G_{i} \frac{\omega^2 \lambda_i^2}{\omega^2 \lambda_i^2 + 1}$$  (2-68)

$$G''(\omega) = \sum_{i} G_{i} \frac{\omega \lambda_i}{\omega^2 \lambda_i^2 + 1}$$  (2-69)

$G'$ and $G''$ are thus determined by the values of $G_i$ and $\lambda_i$, that is by the relaxation spectrum.

For the Rouse model, $G_i$ and $\lambda_i$ are given by Equation 2-63. For materials that do not obey the Rouse model, Equations 2-68 and 2-69 can be fit to the measured $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ by appropriately choosing a set of relaxation times, $\{\lambda_i\}$, and moduli, $\{G_i\}$. These sets of relaxation times and moduli constitute a discrete representation of the relaxation spectrum. The measurement of $G'$ and $G''$ as functions of frequency is thus a means of determining the distribution of relaxation times. Note that the contribution of mode $i$ to $G''$ is maximum when $\omega = 1/\lambda_i$. Thus $G''$ is sensitive to relaxation processes with relaxation times around $1/\omega$. By varying $\omega$ over a wide range of frequencies, the strengths, $G_i$, of relaxation mechanisms occurring over a correspondingly wide range of times are probed.

Figure 2-7 shows $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ for the Rouse model. The model predicts that at low frequencies,

$$G' \propto \omega^2; \quad G'' \propto \omega$$  (2-70)

* Outside of the linear viscoelastic regime, higher powers of $\gamma_0$ appear, as well as higher harmonics, that is higher powers of $\sin \omega t$ and $\cos \omega t$.

---

**Fig. 2-7** The Rouse predictions for the dimensionless storage and loss moduli $G'_R$ and $G''_R$ as functions of dimensionless frequency, $\omega \lambda_i$, where $\lambda_i$ is the longest relaxation time. The moduli are made dimensionless by dividing $G'$ and $G''$ by the modulus $G = kT$ (from Ferry* reprinted from Viscoelastic Properties of Polymers, 3rd Ed., ©1980 by permission of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.).

This result is not unique to the Rouse model, but is true any time there is a longest relaxation time that dominates at small $\omega$. The region of frequency in which this limiting behavior occurs is called the terminal zone.*

At high frequencies, the Rouse model gives the distinctive prediction

$$G' = G'' \propto \omega^{1/2}$$  (2-71)

This prediction is a consequence of the spacing of relaxation times in the Rouse model, namely, $\lambda_i \propto 1/i^2$; see Equation 2-63. In general, if $\lambda_i \propto 1/i^p$, and $G_i = G$ is independent of $i$, then

$$G' \propto G'' \propto \omega^{1/p}$$  (2-72)
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