

## Geometric constructions of quantum codes

Jürgen Bierbrauer, D. Bartoli, S. Marcugini, and F. Pambianco

ABSTRACT. We give a geometric description of binary quantum stabilizer codes. In the case of distance  $d = 4$  this leads to the notion of a quantum cap. We describe several recursive constructions for quantum caps and construct in particular quantum 36- and 38-caps in  $PG(4, 4)$ . This yields quantum codes with new parameters  $[[36, 26, 4]]$  and  $[[38, 28, 4]]$ .

### 1. Introduction

It has been shown in [6] that certain additive quaternary codes give rise to quantum codes. We use the following definition:

DEFINITION 1. *A quaternary quantum stabilizer code is an additive quaternary code  $C$  which is contained in its dual, where duality is with respect to the symplectic form.*

A **pure**  $[[n, l, d]]$ -code is a quaternary quantum stabilizer code of binary dimension  $n - l$  and dual distance  $\geq d$ .

The spectrum of quantum stabilizer codes of distance 2 is easily determined. The complete determination of the parameter spectrum of additive quantum codes of distance 3 is given in [3]. The analogous problem for  $d = 4$  is wide open. A recent result is the non-existence of a  $[[13, 5, 4]]$  quantum code, see [5].

In [4] we formulate the problem in geometric terms. Here we concentrate on the special case when  $d = 4$  and the code is quaternary linear. This leads to the following definition:

DEFINITION 2. *A set of  $n$  points in  $PG(m-1, 4)$  is **pre-quantum** if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:*

- *The corresponding quaternary  $[n, m]_4$  code has all weights even.*
- *Each hyperplane meets the set in the same parity as the cardinality of the set.*

*It is a **quantum cap** if moreover it is a cap and generates the entire ambient space.*

It is in fact easy to see that the conditions in Definition 2 are equivalent. The translation result is the following (see [4]):

THEOREM 1. *The following are equivalent:*

- *A pure quantum code  $[[n, n - 2m, 4]]$  which is linear over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ .*

- *A quantum  $n$ -cap in  $PG(m-1, 4)$ .*

The relation between the two items of Theorem 1 is as follows: let  $C$  be the quaternary linear code describing the  $[[n, n-2m, 4]]$ -quantum code and  $M$  a generator matrix of  $C$ . Then  $M$  is an  $(m, n)$ -matrix with entries from  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . A corresponding quantum cap is described by the projective points defined by the columns of  $M$ .

In this paper we concentrate on quantum caps in  $PG(3, 4)$  and in  $PG(4, 4)$ . In the next section we review a known recursive construction. In the final section we construct quantum 36- and 38-caps in  $PG(4, 4)$ . This yields positive answers to the existence questions of quantum codes  $[[36, 26, 4]]$  and  $[[38, 28, 4]]$  that remained open in the data base [9]. These quantum codes are best possible as  $[[36, 26, 5]]$ - and  $[[38, 28, 5]]$ -quantum codes cannot exist.

## 2. A recursive construction

The most obvious recursive construction is the following:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be disjoint pre-quantum sets in  $PG(m-1, 4)$ . Then  $K_1 \cup K_2$  is pre-quantum.*

*Let  $K_1 \subset K_2$  be pre-quantum sets. Then also  $K_2 \setminus K_1$  is pre-quantum.*

The proof is trivial. Theorem 2 leads to the question when a subset of a pre-quantum set is pre-quantum. This can be expressed in coding-theoretic terms.

**DEFINITION 3.** *Let  $M$  be a quaternary  $(m, n)$ -matrix whose columns generate different points, and  $K$  the corresponding  $n$ -set of points in  $PG(m-1, 4)$ . The **associated binary code**  $A$  is the binary linear code of length  $n$  generated by the supports of the quaternary codewords of the code generated by  $M$ .*

Observe that by definition  $K$  is pre-quantum if and only if  $A$  is contained in the all-even code. This leads to the following characterization:

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $K \subset PG(m-1, 4)$  be pre-quantum and  $K_1 \subseteq K$ . Then  $K_1$  (and its complement  $K \setminus K_1$ ) is pre-quantum if and only if the characteristic vector of  $K_1$  is contained in the dual  $A^\perp$  of the binary code  $A$  associated to  $K$ .*

This is essentially Theorem 7 of [6]. It can be used in two ways. One is to start from a quantum cap  $K$  and construct (pre-)quantum caps  $K_1 \subset K$  contained in it. This is the point of view taken by Tonchev in [11]. In fact the maximum size of a cap in  $PG(4, 4)$  is 41, there are two such caps and one is quantum. Also, there is a uniquely determined 40-cap in  $AG(4, 4)$  and it is quantum (for these facts see [7, 8]). Tonchev starts from the quantum 41-cap and determines its quantum subcaps. This leads to quantum caps of sizes  $n \in \{10, 12, 14, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35\}$  in  $PG(4, 4)$ . It is easy to see that the smallest pre-quantum cap in any dimension is the hyperoval in the plane. By Theorem 2 it follows that this method cannot produce quantum caps of sizes between 36 and 40 in  $PG(4, 4)$ . Tonchev then applies the same method to the Glynn cap (a 126-cap in  $PG(5, 4)$ ) and also produces a linear  $[[27, 13, 5]]$  quantum code.

We take a more geometric point of view. Here is a direct application of Theorem 2:

**COROLLARY 1.** *Assume there exist a quantum  $i$ -cap in  $AG(m-1, 4)$  and a pre-quantum  $j$ -cap in  $AG(m-1, 4)$ . Then there is a quantum  $(i+j)$ -cap in  $PG(m, 4)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $H_1, H_2$  be different hyperplanes in  $PG(m, 4)$  and  $S = H_1 \cap H_2$ . Represent the  $i$ -cap on  $H_1 \setminus S$  and the  $j$ -cap on  $H_2 \setminus S$ . The corresponding disjoint union clearly is a cap and it is pre-quantum. As the  $i$ -cap generates  $PG(m - 1, 4)$  and the  $j$ -cap is not empty together the caps generate all of  $PG(m, 4)$ .  $\square$

As an example, the union of two hyperovals on different planes  $H_1, H_2$  of  $PG(3, 4)$  is a quantum 12-cap provided  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is an exterior line of both hyperovals. In the next section we briefly describe the quantum caps in  $PG(3, 4)$  as they are needed as ingredients for the recursive constructions.

### 3. Quantum caps in $PG(3, 4)$

It can be shown that the sizes of quantum caps in  $PG(3, 4)$  are 8, 12, 14 and 17 (see [1]). Theorem 1 shows that this can be expressed equivalently as follows: pure linear  $[[n, n-8, 4]]$ -quantum codes exist precisely for  $n \in \{8, 12, 14, 17\}$ . Here the 17-cap is the elliptic quadric, obviously quantum. The construction of a quantum 12-cap was described in the previous section. The quantum 8-cap  $A$  can be described as the set-theoretic difference of  $PG(3, 2)$  and a Fano subplane. It has the peculiarity not to contain a coordinate frame. Another description of  $A$  is based on hyperovals: choose hyperovals  $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$  on two planes which share two points on the line of intersection. The symmetric sum  $\mathcal{O}_1 + \mathcal{O}_2$  is then the quantum 8-cap.

The quantum 14-cap in  $PG(3, 4)$  is a highly interesting object. It is the uniquely determined complete 14-cap in  $PG(3, 4)$ . Its group of automorphisms is the semidirect product of an elementary abelian group of order 8 and  $GL(3, 2)$  (see [7]). It contains 7 hyperovals. Here is a construction using only hyperovals: there is a configuration in  $PG(3, 4)$  consisting of three collinear planes and a hyperoval in each plane, where the line of intersection is a secant for all three hyperovals. The symmetric sum of two hyperovals is then our quantum 8-cap and the union of all three hyperovals is the quantum 14-cap. This shows also that we can think of the 14-cap as a disjoint union of a hyperoval and a quantum 8-cap. In Section 6 we will construct a quantum 38-cap in  $PG(4, 4)$  based on four copies of the quantum 14-cap on four hyperplanes. For that purpose we give a more detailed description.

DEFINITION 4. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a hyperoval and  $\Pi_0$  a Fano plane of  $PG(2, 4)$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\Pi_0$  are **well-positioned** if  $\mathcal{O} \cap \Pi_0 = \emptyset$  and if the three lines of  $\Pi_0$  containing the points of  $\mathcal{O}$  are concurrent in a point  $P \in \Pi_0$ . Write then  $\Pi_0 = \Pi(P, \mathcal{O})$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a hyperoval in  $PG(2, 4)$ . There are precisely 15 Fano planes in  $PG(2, 4)$  which are well-positioned with respect to  $\mathcal{O}$ .

PROOF. This follows directly from the definition. Those 15 Fano planes are the  $\Pi_0(P)$  where  $P$  varies over the points outside  $\mathcal{O}$ . Recall that  $PG(2, 4)$  and its hyperovals and Fano planes play a central role in the construction of the large Witt design as it is described for example in Hughes-Piper [10]. There are 360 Fano planes in  $PG(2, 4)$  and each is well-positioned with respect to 7 hyperovals, one for each bundle of lines through a point of the Fano plane. There are 168 hyperovals and so it is not surprising that each hyperoval is well-positioned with respect to 15 Fano planes.  $\square$

LEMMA 2. Let  $E$  be a plane in  $PG(3, 4)$  and  $\mathcal{O} \subset E$  a hyperoval. Let  $\Pi \subset PG(3, 4)$  be a  $PG(3, 2)$  and  $\Pi_0 = \Pi \cap E$  a Fano plane. Let  $A = \Pi \setminus \Pi_0$ . Then  $A \cup \mathcal{O}$  is a cap if and only if  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\Pi_0$  are well-positioned in  $E$ .

PROOF. Let  $P \in \Pi_0$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  the union of the points  $\notin \Pi_0$  on the union of the lines of  $\Pi_0$  through  $P$ . The fact that  $\Pi_0$  is a blocking set in  $E$  shows that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a cap, hence a hyperoval.  $\square$

Lemma 2 shows one way to describe the complete 14-caps in  $PG(3, 4)$ : start from a subgeometry  $\Pi = PG(3, 2)$  and a Fano plane  $\Pi_0 \subset \Pi$ . Let  $A = \Pi \setminus \Pi_0$  and  $E$  the subplane  $PG(2, 4)$  generated by  $\Pi_0$ . Pick  $P \in \Pi_0$  and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the union of the points of  $E \setminus \Pi_0$  on the lines of  $\Pi_0$  through  $P$ . Then  $A \cup \mathcal{O}$  is a complete (quantum) 14-cap. This is not a parametrization as each 14-cap can be written like that in 7 ways.

#### 4. Applications of Theorem 2

Application of Corollary 1 to the quantum caps in  $PG(3, 4)$  (only the elliptic quadric is not affine) and to the pre-quantum 6-cap (the hyperoval in a plane) yields quantum caps in  $PG(4, 4)$  of sizes

$$14 + 6 = 20, 12 + 6 = 18, 8 + 6 = 14, 14 + 8 = 22, 14 + 12 = 26,$$

$$14 + 14 = 28, 12 + 8 = 20, 12 + 12 = 24, 8 + 8 = 16.$$

Corollary 1 can be slightly generalized so as to allow the use of the elliptic quadric  $K_1$  on  $H_1$ . Let  $\{P\} = K_1 \cap S$  and  $K_2 \subset AG(3, 4)$  a pre-quantum cap. Then  $K_1 \cup K_2$  is a quantum cap provided  $K_2 \cup \{P\}$  is a cap. This works for  $j = 6, 8$  and thus yields quantum caps of sizes  $17 + 6 = 23, 17 + 8 = 25$  in  $PG(4, 4)$ . It does not work for  $j = 12$  or  $j = 14$  as those quantum caps in  $AG(3, 4)$  are complete in  $PG(3, 4)$  (see [2]). The union of two disjoint hyperovals on two planes which meet in a point yields a quantum 12-cap in  $PG(4, 4)$ .

#### 5. A more general recursive construction

THEOREM 4. *Let  $\Pi_1, \Pi_2$  be different hyperplanes of  $PG(m, 4)$  and  $K_i \subset \Pi_i$  be pre-quantum caps such that  $K_1 \cap \Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2 = K_2 \cap \Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2$ . Then the symmetric sum  $K_1 + K_2 = (K_1 \setminus K_2) \cup (K_2 \setminus K_1)$  is a pre-quantum cap.*

PROOF. It is clear that  $K_1 + K_2$  is a cap. Only the quantum condition needs to be verified. Let  $H$  be a hyperplane. If  $H$  contains  $\Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2$  there is no problem. Assume this is not the case. Then  $H$  meets each of  $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2$  in a hyperplane. By the pre-quantum condition applied to  $K_i \subset \Pi_i$  it follows that the sets  $(K_1 \cap K_2) \setminus H, K_1 \setminus (K_2 \cup H), K_2 \setminus (K_1 \cup H)$  all have the same parity.  $\square$

If we apply Theorem 4 to an elliptic quadric on one of the hyperplanes then we must choose an elliptic quadric on the second hyperplane as well. This leads to quantum 24- and 32-caps. The other ingredients can be combined. Observe that all of them have planes with 0 or 2 or 4 intersection points and all but the 8-cap also contain a hyperoval. This leads to quantum caps of sizes

$$6 + 8 = 14, 8 + 8 = 16, 4 + 8 = 12, 4 + 10 = 14, 8 + 10 = 18, 10 + 10 = 20,$$

$$6 + 6 = 12, 6 + 10 = 16, 6 + 12 = 18, 10 + 12 = 22, 12 + 12 = 24, 8 + 8 = 16,$$

$$8 + 12 = 20, 8 + 14 = 22, 12 + 12 = 24, 12 + 14 = 26, 14 + 14 = 28.$$

#### 6. New quantum caps in $PG(4, 4)$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \omega, \bar{\omega}\}$ . In this section we will write for brevity  $2 = \omega, 3 = \bar{\omega}$ .

**A quantum 36-cap in  $PG(4, 4)$ .** Fix a plane  $E$  and three different hyperplanes  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  containing  $E$ . Let  $V \cup \{N\}$  be an oval in  $E$ , let  $K_3 \subset H_3$  be a quantum 12-cap (union of two hyperovals) such that  $K_3 \cap E = V$  and let  $K_i, i = 1, 2$  be elliptic quadrics in  $H_i$  such that  $H_i \cap E = V \cup \{N\}$ . Define

$$K = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3 \setminus \{N\}.$$

Then  $|K| = 4 + 12 + 12 + 8 = 36$ . We claim that  $K$  is pre-quantum. Let  $H$  be a hyperplane. There is no problem if  $H$  contains  $E$ . Let  $g = H \cap E$ , a line. As  $K_3$  is pre-quantum it generates no problems. It is obvious that  $H$  intersects  $K_1 \setminus E$  and  $K_2 \setminus E$  in the same cardinality. This proves the statement.

In order to obtain the promised quantum cap it remains to be shown that  $K$  can be chosen to be a cap. Here is one such quantum cap:

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} 0000 & 000000000000 & 111111111111 & 1111 & 1111 \\ 0000 & 111111111111 & 000000000000 & 1111 & 1111 \\ 0101 & 000111222333 & 000111222333 & 0123 & 0123 \\ 1211 & 001223002022 & 223001022002 & 1133 & 0011 \\ 1031 & 020311033212 & 022133112030 & 2031 & 0202 \end{array} \right)$$

**A quantum 38-cap in  $PG(4, 4)$ .** Start from a subplane  $E = PG(2, 4)$  of  $PG(4, 4)$  defined by  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$  and a hyperoval  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $E$  which we choose as the union of  $P_y = (0 : 0 : 1 : y : y^2)$  for  $y \in GF(4)$ ,  $P_\infty = (0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$  and the nucleus  $N = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0)$ . Concretely

$$\mathcal{O} = \{00100, 00010, 00001, 00111, 00123, 00132\}.$$

Next choose a point  $Q \in E \setminus \mathcal{O}$ . Without restriction  $Q = (0 : 0 : 1 : 1 : 0)$ . Then  $Q$  is on two exterior lines with respect to  $\mathcal{O}$ . Those are  $[1 : 1 : 2]$  and  $[1 : 1 : 3]$ . The points  $\neq Q$  on  $[1 : 1 : 2]$  are  $R_1 = 013, R_2 = 103, R_3 = 122, R_4 = 131$  where we used an obvious notational convention. Consider the Fano planes  $F_i = \Pi(R_i, \mathcal{O})$  (see Definition 4). By definition  $F_i$  is well-positioned with respect to  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Consider now the four hyperplanes  $H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4$  containing  $E$  which are defined by  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, x_2 = 3x_1$  and  $x_2 = 2x_1$ , respectively. Representatives for points in  $H_i \setminus E$  will always be written in the form  $01*$ ,  $10*$ ,  $21*$  and  $31*$ , respectively. Let now  $G_i$  be a subspace  $PG(3, 2)$  of  $H_i$  which contains the Fano plane  $F_i$  and let  $A_i = G_i \setminus F_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Then  $A_i$  is a quantum 8-cap in  $H_i$  and  $A_i \cup \mathcal{O}$  is a quantum 14-cap. Let  $K = \mathcal{O} \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4$ . Then  $K$  is a quantum set of 38 points. It is a quantum cap if and only if it is a cap. The question is if  $G_i$  can be chosen in a way such that this is the case. It seems to be advantageous to switch to vector space language. Then  $F_1 = \langle 013, 022, 203 \rangle$  where  $\langle \rangle$  denotes the three-dimensional space over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  generated by those vectors. Likewise  $F_2 = \langle 103, 202, 023 \rangle$  and  $F_3 = \langle 122, 011, 301 \rangle, F_4 = \langle 131, 023, 303 \rangle$ .

LEMMA 3.

$$\begin{aligned} S_4 &= F_1 + F_3 = \langle 002, 020, 033, 100, 303 \rangle, S_3 = F_1 + F_4 = \langle 001, 030, 013, 100, 310 \rangle, \\ S_2 &= 3F_1 + F_4 = \langle 001, 010, 023, 320, 200 \rangle, S_1 = F_2 + F_3 = \langle 002, 030, 021, 200, 320 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore  $2F_2 \subset S_4, 3F_2 \subset S_3, 2F_3 \subset S_2, F_4 \subset S_1$ .

This is easy to check. Let now

$$G_1 = 01a_1 + F_1, G_2 = 10a_2 + F_2, G_3 = 21a_3 + F_3, G_4 = 31a_4 + F_4.$$

The cap condition is then equivalent to the following four conditions being satisfied

- $b_4 = a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 \notin S_4$ .
- $b_3 = a_1 + 3a_2 + a_4 \notin S_3$ .
- $b_2 = 3a_1 + 2a_3 + a_4 \notin S_2$ .
- $b_1 = a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \notin S_1$ .

Observe  $b_1 = b_3 + b_4$ ,  $b_2 = b_3 + 2b_4$ . It follows that all we need to find are elements  $b_3 \notin S_3$ ,  $b_4 \notin S_4$  such that  $b_3 + b_4 \notin S_1$ ,  $b_3 + 2b_4 \notin S_2$ . One possible choice is  $b_3 = 011$ ,  $b_4 = 001$  and  $a_1 = 220$ ,  $a_2 = 113$ ,  $a_3 = 000$ ,  $a_4 = 103$ . Here is the cap:

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} 000000 & 00000000 & 11111111 & 22222222 & 33333333 \\ 000000 & 11111111 & 00000000 & 11111111 & 11111111 \\ 100111 & 22202000 & 10312032 & 01031232 & 10120323 \\ 010123 & 23021301 & 11131333 & 02103213 & 03201321 \\ 001132 & 03231012 & 30102321 & 02113302 & 32001132 \end{array} \right)$$

### References

- [1] D. Bartoli, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco: *A computer based classification of caps in PG(3,4)*, Rapporto Tecnico N. 8/2009 del Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Perugia.
- [2] D. Bartoli, J. Bierbrauer, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco: *The structure of binary quantum caps*, in preparation.
- [3] J. Bierbrauer: *The spectrum of stabilizer quantum codes of distance 3*, submitted for publication in *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*.
- [4] J. Bierbrauer, G. Faina, M. Giulietti, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco: *The geometry of quantum codes*, *Innovations in Incidence Geometry* **6** (2009), 53-71.
- [5] J. Bierbrauer, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco: *The non-existence of a  $[[13, 5, 4]]$  quantum stabilizer code*, ArXiv 0908.1348v1.
- [6] A. R. Calderbank, E. M. Rains, P. M. Shor, N. J. A. Sloane: *Quantum error-correction via codes over GF(4)*, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **44** (1998), 1369-1387.
- [7] Y. Edel and J. Bierbrauer: *41 is the largest size of a cap in PG(4, 4)*, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **16**(1999),151-160.
- [8] Y. Edel and J. Bierbrauer: *The largest cap in AG(4, 4) and its uniqueness*, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **29** (2003), 99-104.
- [9] M. Grassl: <http://www.codetables.de/>
- [10] D.R. Hughes and F.C. Piper: *Design Theory*, Cambridge University Press 1985.
- [11] V. Tonchev: *Quantum codes from caps*, *Discrete Mathematics* **308** (2008), 6368-6372.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MICHIGAN TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, HOUGHTON, MICHIGAN 49931 (USA)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PERUGIA, PERUGIA (ITALY)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PERUGIA, PERUGIA (ITALY)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PERUGIA, PERUGIA (ITALY)