Are plutons assembled over millions of years by amalgamation from small magma chambers?
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ABSTRACT
Field and geochronologic evidence indicate that large and broadly homogeneous plutons can accumulate incrementally over millions of years. This contradicts the common assumption that plutons form from large, mobile bodies of magma. Incremental assembly is consistent with seismic results from active volcanic areas which rarely locate masses that contain more than 10% melt. At such a low melt fraction, a material is incapable of bulk flow as a liquid and perhaps should not even be termed magma. Volumes with higher melt fractions may be present in these areas if they are small, and this is consistent with geologic evidence for plutons growing in small increments. The large melt volumes required for eruption of large ignimbrites are rare and ephemeral, and links between these and emplacement of most plutons are open to doubt. We suggest that plutons may commonly form incrementally without ever existing as a large magma body. If so, then many widely accepted magma ascent and emplacement processes (e.g., diapirism and stoping) may be uncommon in nature, and many aspects of the petrochemical evolution of magmatic systems (e.g., in situ crystal fractionation and magma mixing) need to be reconsidered.

INTRODUCTION
Plutons are fundamental building blocks of the continental crust. Thought about plutons has been dominated by the tacit assumptions that plutons are largely molten during emplacement and that emplacement is geologically rapid (e.g., Buddington, 1959; Miller et al., 1988; Clarke, 1992; Bateman, 1992; Miller and Paterson, 1999). A contrasting view is that diapiric ascent of magma is too slow and energetically inefficient to be geologically important, and large magma bodies only form at the emplacement level where they are fed by dikes (e.g., Clemens and Mawer, 1992; Petford et al., 2000). Several lines of evidence indicate that, regardless of the ascent mechanism, at least some plutons were emplaced incrementally over time spans an order of magnitude longer than the thermal lifetime of a large magmatic mass (Coleman et al., 2004). No more than a small fraction of such a pluton can have contained melt at a given time, and thus apparently continuous bodies of plutonic rock appear to have grown in situ by amalgamation from many small, probably dike-fed, increments.

Magma volumes of 1000 km$^3$ or more clearly must exist at least ephemerally in the crust because ignimbrite eruptions of this size are well known from the geologic record (Lipman, 1984). However, it need not follow that large plutons were
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Figure 1. Sketch of the “big tank” magma chamber and a synopsis of the processes that are hypothesized to occur in and around rising magma bodies. Processes such as stoping, downward flow of wall rock, and ballooning (not explicitly represented) are invoked as material transfer and emplacement mechanisms. Processes such as sidewall crystallization, fractional crystallization, and magma mixing are invoked to account for geochemical variation of plutons and associated volcanic rocks. These processes all require large, highly molten magma chambers capable of flow as liquids.
once large tanks of unerupted magma. Large ignimbrite eruptions, although geographically widespread, happen rarely compared to the much smaller eruptions that construct volcanoes. If construction of plutons resembles that of volcanoes, plutons may represent amalgamations of many small magmatic additions. If so, then we must reevaluate pluton emplacement mechanisms and, indeed, reevaluate the concept of a “pluton.”

This perspective mirrors the development of thought about mid-ocean ridge magmatism. A spreading center once was thought to contain a large permanent magma chamber that underwent in situ crystal fractionation and recharge (Cann, 1974). However, seismic studies failed to locate such magma chambers (e.g., Detrick et al., 1990), and modeling suggests that it is thermally difficult to maintain even a small permanent magma chamber at any but the most rapidly spreading mid-ocean ridge (e.g., Lister, 1983). Accretion of the oceanic crust now is envisioned in terms of small ephemeral magma bodies that differentiate by complex processes at many sites (Sinton and Detrick, 1992).

In this paper, we summarize field, geochronologic, and geophysical evidence that many plutons were emplaced incrementally over significant time spans and argue that many of our fundamental assumptions regarding plutons and their relationships to host rocks must be reexamined.

EVIDENCE FOR INCREMENTAL EMPLACEMENT OF PLUTONS

Field Evidence

A growing body of data suggests that many plutons were assembled as a series of sheet-like intrusions that may be gently or steeply inclined. Wiebe and Collins (1998) described the progressive growth of large plutons by vertical stacking of intrusive sheets beneath a long-lived silicic magma cap and argued that a molten body of the size of the final pluton need never have existed. Similar large sheeted intrusions are now recognized worldwide and in many tectonic settings (e.g., Wiebe, 1993; Coleman et al., 1995; Brown and McClelland, 2000). Wiebe and Collins (1998) suggest that steeply dipping sheets at the margins of some plutons were emplaced subhorizontally and then tilted at the margin of a sagging floor.

Other plutons preserve evidence for emplacement as a series of steep dikes (e.g., Pitcher, 1970; Hutton, 1992; McNulty et al., 1996). For example, the McDooge pluton of the central Sierra Nevada displays compelling evidence for emplacement by dike amalgamation (Mahan et al., 2003). The pluton is compositionally layered parallel to its contacts and contains numerous thin concordant panels of wall rock that preserve consistent fabric orientations and tectonostratigraphic order. Wall-rock bodies inside the pluton are interpreted to have remained in place as the pluton was intruded incrementally as a plexus of dikes (Mahan et al., 2003; cf. Pitcher, 1970).

The Tuolumne Intrusive Suite of Yosemite National Park has long been thought to have crystallized from several large batches of magma that were emplaced in rapid succession (Bateman and Chappell, 1979). However, at many places, the outer margin of the Tuolumne is clearly composed of granodiorite dikes that invaded wall rocks (Fig. 2). At May Lake the outermost unit of the Tuolumne in that area (tonalite of Glen Aulin) intrudes a screen of metamorphic wall rocks and is choked with wall-rock xenoliths (Fig. 3A), ranging from 250 × 20 m down to decimeter scale, all of which contain foliation and lineation parallel to that in the main screen (Fig. 3B). The tonalite exhibits contact-parallel sheeting defined by variations in mineral proportions and grades over 5–25 m into the next inner and younger unit, the Half Dome Granodiorite. We interpret the xenoliths as in-place bodies of wall rock isolated by dikes that, where their contacts are not marked by xenoliths, are recorded by the compositional sheets.

The Half Dome Granodiorite near the contact also contains sheets of varying composition and tabular swarms of mafic enclaves, but grades inward to a more homogeneous rock. Here the occurrence of dikes or sheets is less certain. However, a pattern of dikes and sheets at the margins passing into a more homogeneous interior is consistent with thermal models of incremental pluton growth that predict a transient sheeted-dike stage followed by formation of a central, possibly small, steady-state magma chamber (Hanson and Glazner, 1995).

The growth of sheeted intrusions is analogous to that of crack-seal veins (Ramsay, 1980). A crack-seal vein contains a superficially uniform fill that accumulated incrementally as the crack opened in a series of discrete events. Annealing of the vein fill can obscure evidence of individual fracturing events, and this also may apply to composite plutons. McDooge granodiorite has the same coarse grain size from the center of the pluton to the thinnest dike, and shows no outcrop-scale evidence of chilling at any contact. Locally, truncated mafic enclaves reveal steep internal dike contacts but, away from truncated enclaves, the dike contacts become invisible (Mahan et al., 2003). These observations suggest that rock textures were homogenized by post-emplacement annealing of goitrocal contacts that obscured internal contacts. The textural homogeneity of large plutons like the Half Dome Granodiorite could also reflect post-emplacement annealing of amalgamated dikes or sheets, and such a pluton might contain any number of cryptic contacts. As yet it is unclear how to recognize and to map such cryptic contacts to determine their prevalence and abundance.

Geochronologic Evidence

Thermal models clearly show that crustal magma bodies should solidify rapidly, with small plutons cooling below the solidus in thousands of years and even large plutons in hundreds of thousands of years (e.g., Jaeger, 1957; Harrison and Clarke, 1979; Stimac et al., 2001). Figure 4 illustrates a simple two-dimensional thermal model of emplacement of a large rectangular magma body, comparable in width to the exposed Tuolumne Intrusive Suite. Temperatures were calculated using the HEAT program of Wohletz (2003). The magma body, silicic with an initial temperature of 900 °C, is 5 km thick and 20 km wide, and its top is set at a depth of 15 km in a crust with a geothermal gradient of 20 °C/km. The program calculates conductive cooling with a finite-difference solution to the heat flow equation, with latent heat of fusion released throughout the crystallization interval.
Figure 4 tracks the temperature at four points within the body. All fall below 750 °C within 500,000 years, and the volume fraction of the pluton above 750 °C falls linearly to zero in that time. If 750 °C is taken as an estimate of the temperature at which the magma is 50% crystallized (and thus no longer mobile), then the mobile fraction is gone by 500,000 years. We note that this two-dimensional model cools significantly more slowly than a fully three-dimensional model because there is no heat loss out the ends, and that convection of fluids in the wall rocks will speed cooling greatly.

Geochronologic data contradict these results. In particular, U-Pb zircon data from the Tuolumne Intrusive Suite (Coleman et al., 2004) demonstrate a regular time-space pattern of emplacement between 95 and 85 Ma, with the oldest intrusions at the margins and the youngest at the center (Fig. 5). The Half Dome Granodiorite was emplaced over a >3 m.y. period between 92.8 ± 0.1 and 88.8 ± 0.8 Ma, with older ages near the outer contact and younger ages near the inner. The Half Dome Granodiorite is mapped as a single continuous pluton that locally grades into an inner porphyritic facies, but the data demonstrate a lifetime far longer than single-intrusion thermal models allow. Although the thermal modeling and geochronologic data permit the possibility that small volumes of partial melt may have persisted throughout the Half Dome during amalgamation, they do not permit the possibility that it intruded as a single batch of magma. The Half Dome thus must be cryptically composite and amalgamated from at least several discrete intrusions, the forms of which (e.g., dikes, subhorizontal sheets, blobs) are yet unknown. Pitcher (1993, p. 186) recognized multipulse plutonic systems that are emplaced over a significant time span, but stated that “the entire magmatic life of a multipulse pluton may not exceed a million years.” Similarly, modeling by Petford et al. (2000) predicts intrusion over 1000 to 10,000,000 years for a pluton the size of
Figure 3. (A) Geologic map of the area around May Lake, Yosemite National Park, showing large xenoliths of metasedimentary rocks caught up in the outermost unit of the Tuolumne Intrusive Suite. From Taylor (2004). (B) Equal-area plots of structural data from May Lake. Lineation in red and poles to foliation in blue, with best-fit axes as squares. Data from the xenoliths, which are entirely enclosed in the tonalite of Glen Aulin, are consistent with data from the main metamorphic screen, indicating that the xenoliths were not reoriented during detachment, as would be expected of stoped blocks. These data are instead consistent with isolation of the xenoliths by diking. For foliation data, best-fit axes on girdle represent point maximum on girdle and the axis perpendicular to it.

Figure 4. Evolution of temperatures in a two-dimensional magma body. Magma body, 5 km thick and 20 km wide, is emplaced at 15 km depth. Figure plots temperatures at four points in the body: 1 km below the top center, in the center, 1 km above the bottom center, and 1 km inside the side contact, vertically centered. The entire body is below 750 °C in ca. 500,000 years. The >3 m.y. lifetime of the Half Dome Granodiorite contradicts this single-pulse result.
have failed to turn up evidence for significant quantities of waves and thus should produce a shadow zone. Molten to undergo bulk magmatic flow should not transmit S-waves do not propagate through liquids, a body sufficiently mantle should reduce finite-element calculations that just 2 vol% melt in the upper seismic waves. Hammond and Humphreys (2000) showed via mic methods because magmatic liquids slow and attenuate Seismic Evidence lies instead with assumptions about plutonic processes. That many such age differences are real and that the problem to reflect problems in isotopic systematics. However, it may be cooling to form plutons. However, bodies with higher melt fractions may yet be present if they are too small to resolve seismically. This possibility is compatible with the geologic evidence summarized above for plutons that formed in small increments.

We conclude that, as at mid-ocean ridges, seismic evidence for large magma bodies with a high melt fraction is rare or absent. Massive caldera-forming eruptions may reflect either large magma bodies that are ephemeral and develop only rarely, or eruption of silicate melt that is relatively dispersed rather than concentrated in a single magmatic mass.

**WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE INCREMENTALLY EMPLACED PLUTONS?**

If long-lived incrementally assembled plutons are common, then many widespread assumptions about the behavior of magma in the crust must be modified. These include the following.

**Pluton Ascent and Emplacement Mechanisms**

Diapirism, ballooning, and stoping are widely accepted processes that have been inferred for many plutons (e.g., Pitcher, 1993; Paterson et al., 1996). These processes require that plutons represent frozen magma chambers with at least 50 vol% liquid throughout a volume comparable to the size the pluton. This is not viable for any pluton that accumulated in small increments such that, at any time during its emplacement, most of the pluton was largely solid.

**Rates of Magmatic Processes**

Rates of pluton growth must be compatible with space-making rates in the wall rock (Paterson and Tobisch, 1992). A diapir must rise slowly and displace wall rocks by ductile
creep (e.g., Miller et al., 1988). In contrast, the rate of magma ascent along dikes is rapid enough to allow construction of large plutons in as little as $10^3$–$10^4$ yr (Clemens and Mawer, 1992; Petford et al., 2000). Such volumetric emplacement rates imply extreme wall-rock displacement rates of up to 0.1–1 m/yr, depending on the pluton shape and dilation pattern. However, a pluton may be incrementally assembled by dike injection at virtually any long-term rate, depending on the time between injection events. More detailed high-precision geochronologic studies of petrologically and tectonically diverse plutons are needed to discover the actual range of long-term pluton emplacement rates.

Timing and Rates of Tectonic Processes

If growth of an individual pluton can last millions of years, determining the ages of structures and fabrics by isotopic dating of cross-cutting plutons becomes less straightforward. A single pluton may both cut and be cut by structures if the pluton’s magmatic lifetime overlaps deformation. These relationships could be exploited using careful isotopic dating to determine durations of events and to understand the interplay between intrusive and wall-rock processes.

Interpretation of Magmatic Fabrics

Interpretation of magmatic rock fabrics is controversial, and a review is beyond the scope of this paper. However, several plutons mentioned here (e.g., Half Dome, McDoogle) contain a magmatic fabric that appears continuous in the field in spite of field and geochronologic evidence that rocks containing the fabric crystallized at significantly different times. Such a fabric clearly is time-transgressive and implies notably uniform strain associated with emplacement increments added at significantly different times. In examples where a single magmatic fabric cuts contacts between mapped intrusive phases (e.g., Morgan et al., 2000), emplacing the plutons in small increments does not help resolve the problem but neither does it appear to increase the difficulties.

Magmatic Differentiation Processes

Large plutons commonly are compositionally zoned on length scales of $10^2$–$10^4$ m. Such zonation has been interpreted to result largely from crystal fractionation (e.g., Bateman and Chappell, 1979; Tindle and Pearce, 1981; Sisson and Moore, 1994) and/or magma mixing (Kistler et al., 1986; Frost and Mahood, 1987). However, for plutons assembled over millions of years with only small parts molten at one time, in situ crystal fractionation and/or magma mixing cannot account for the zonation. In such cases, textural and chemical homogeneity of a pluton probably reflects processes operating deeper than the observed crustal level. Indeed, a remarkable aspect of the Sierra Nevada is that the plutonic zoning of the Tuolumne—an outer, medium-grained, equigranular mafic granodiorite, a medial granodiorite with conspicuous euhedral hornblende, biotite, and titanite phenocrysts, and an inner granodiorite with large K-feldspar megacrysts—is repeated several times along the length of the range (Tikoff and Teyssier, 1992) among plutons of similar age. This repetition cannot reflect derivation of the plutons from a common magma chamber and must instead reflect the recurrence of petrogenetic processes in the deeper crust.

Size and Nature of Magma Chambers

There is a fundamental discrepancy between geophysical images of “large magma chambers” with volumes on the order of $10^5$ km$^3$ but generally containing less than 10% melt (Iyer et al., 1990) and the common petrologic view of a magma chamber with comparable dimensions but a melt fraction in excess of 50%. Incremental emplacement of plutons by amalgamation of small intrusions is compatible with geophysical observations that indicate that magma chambers in the petrologic sense generally are small and transient.

Correspondence between Plutons and Caldera-Forming Eruptions

Caldera-forming eruptions with volumes on the order of 1000 km$^3$ offer undeniable evidence that large magma bodies exist at least ephemeronally in the crust. Growth of a magma body requires the rate of thermal input by magma to exceed the rate of thermal loss by cooling and eruption. In an area where the power input (Hildreth, 1981) is high, a large magma body can develop; where power input is low, cooling prevents development of a large magma body and a pluton may form incrementally (Fig. 6). It may be that, when power input is sufficiently high to form a large magma body in the upper to middle crust, the roof fails and a caldera-forming eruption results, evacuating the magma chamber to leave behind only minor plutonic residues. Neogene magmatism in the Basin and Range (Best et al., 1993), Sierra Madre Occidental of Mexico (McDowell and Clabaugh, 1979), and Bolivian Andes (de Silva, 1989) reflects large power input, development of dozens of large, shallow magma chambers, and common caldera collapse, but it is unknown if significant plutons were emplaced at the same time. However, caldera resurgence may be the surface manifestation of continued incremental input of magma to form newly amalgamating plutons.

CONCLUSIONS

Field and geochronologic evidence indicate that at least some large, superficially homogeneous plutons formed by amalgamation of numerous small intrusions, and that the field or petrologic record of their composite origins may be subtle. Coupled with the lack of geophysical evidence for modern large bodies of magma, this suggests that plutons may commonly form in many small increments in a manner analogous to growth of crack-seal veins. The resulting internal contacts apparently can be cryptic, and thus we know little as yet about typical geometric forms of individual increments or how the increments combine to form a pluton. However, present examples include plutons composed mainly of subhorizontal (e.g., Wiebe and Collins, 1998) and mainly of subvertical (e.g., Hutton, 1992; Mahan et al., 2003) intrusive sheets.

A pluton composed of subhorizontal sheets will be lenticular in overall form and likely have a gently dipping roof defined by the structurally highest intrusive sheet. A pluton composed of dikes should have an irregular roof at which the pluton grades into wall rock injected by many dikes, as is observed at one end of the McDoogle pluton.

The data cited above suggest that our understanding of the emplacement of
plutons and their chemical and structural evolution is incomplete, and the ideas presented here raise many questions and present testable hypotheses. Fruitful areas for future research might include:

- understanding the space-time pattern of incrementally emplaced plutons;
- reconsidering magmatic fabrics within the incremental growth framework;
- establishing clearer ties between the volcanic and plutonic records;
- understanding the chemical and petrologic evolution of plutons given that fractionation within, and mixing of, exposed units may be minimal;
- comparison of the emplacement rates of mafic versus felsic plutons; and
- comparison of incremental emplacement processes in varied tectonic settings.
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Figure 6. Sequence of sketches illustrating the differences between pluton building (top panels) and ignimbrite building (bottom panels). During pluton assembly, the flux of thermal energy (power, shown here schematically as new basalt intrusion—red dikes cooling to darker reds) is modest, yielding plutons assembled incrementally over millions of years and persistent volcanic activity. Only a small portion of the system need be liquid at any time (areas represented by darkest pinks). This model accounts for geochronologic, thermochronologic, and seismic observations discussed in text. Pluton growth is shown here via dike assembly at depth (perhaps preserved in plutons such as the McDoogue) feeding assembly of sheeted intrusions at shallower crustal levels (perhaps preserved in plutons such as the central Tuolumne). Initiation of an ignimbrite eruption is interpreted to result from rapid heat flux (high power) resulting in a large volume of high-percentage partial melt capable of eruption. We envision such magma chambers to be ephemeral features of the shallow lithosphere, erupting soon after formation. Note that for both sequences, the total energy input and silicic magma generated are intended to be approximately the same.