# Monitoring of temporal and spatial variations in fumarole helium and carbon dioxide characteristics at Poás and Turrialba volcanoes, Costa Rica (2001–2009)

D. R. HILTON,<sup>1</sup>\* C. J. RAMÍREZ,<sup>2</sup> R. MORA-AMADOR,<sup>2</sup> T. P. FISCHER,<sup>3</sup> E. FÜRI,<sup>1</sup> P. H. BARRY<sup>1</sup> and A. M. SHAW<sup>1</sup>\*\*

<sup>1</sup>Fluids and Volatiles Laboratory, Geosciences Research Division, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UCSD, La Jolla, CA 92093-0244, U.S.A.

<sup>2</sup>Centro de Investigaciones en Ciencias Geológicas, Escuela Centroamericana de Geología, Red Sismológica Nacional, Universidad de Costa Rica, San Jose, Costa Rica

<sup>3</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131-1116, U.S.A.

(Received January 27, 2010; Accepted April 10, 2010)

We report results of a 9-year monitoring program that took place from 2001 to 2009 of the helium and carbon isotope  $({}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}, \delta^{13}\text{C})$  and relative abundances ratios  $(\text{CO}_{2}/{}^{3}\text{He})$  of fumarole sites at Poás and Turrialba volcanoes, Costa Rica. Over the monitoring period, helium isotopes  $({}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He})$ ,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{(\text{CO2})}$  and  $\text{CO}_{2}/{}^{3}\text{He}$  values varied between 6.7–7.6 R<sub>A</sub> (where R<sub>A</sub> = 1.4 × 10<sup>-6</sup>), -5.5 to -1.3‰ (vs. PDB) and 8.2–59.5 (×10<sup>9</sup>), respectively, at Poás Volcano. Corresponding values for Turrialba Volcano were 6.9–8.0 R<sub>A</sub>, -4.4 to -2.7‰ and 9.4–19.6 (×10<sup>9</sup>), respectively. Notably, fumarole sites at both volcanoes underwent changes in temperature, intensity and areal extent during the 9-year period, and Poás Volcano experienced hydrophreatic eruptions and structural damage induced by a nearby earthquake. At both volcanoes, there were significant and sympathetic temporal changes involving all three geochemical parameters—notably in 2001 and 2006 at Poás and in 2001 and between 2005–2007 at Turrialba. We dismiss increased hydrothermal interaction, magma degassing and calcite precipitation as likely causes of the observed variations. Instead, by ascribing endmember compositions to the three principal contributors to the CO<sub>2</sub> inventory—mantle wedge as well as limestone and organic (sedimentary) carbon (both slab and crust derived)—we show that changes in observed He–CO<sub>2</sub> relationships mainly reflect enhanced crustal contributions of CO<sub>2</sub> are readily apparent in the He–CO<sub>2</sub> temporal record. This work supports calls for long-term geochemical monitoring to be included within hazard assessment and mitigation studies at active volcanoes.

Keywords: helium isotopes, carbon isotopes, Central America, Costa Rica, volcano monitoring

## INTRODUCTION

Geochemical monitoring of geothermal fluids at active volcanic systems has provided valuable insight into a variety of subsurface processes. These include magmatic-hydrothermal interactions, degassing behavior of magmatic bodies, geothermal reservoir evolution and earthquake effects (e.g., Oppenheimer *et al.*, 2003; Bundschuh and Alvarado, 2007). Consequently, the large demands in time and personnel required to repeatedly sample the same locations to produce a time-series of observations are often outweighed by gains in scientific understanding. This was our hope in making repeated visits to Poás and Turrialba volcanoes in Costa Rica to collect fumarole samples over a 9-year period starting in 2001.

Poás and Turrialba volcanoes lie on the Central America Volcanic Front and are formed as a consequence of subduction of the Cocos Plate beneath the Caribbean Plate (Carr, 1984; Carr et al., 2003). Both volcanoes are part of the Cordillera Central, one of two Quaternary volcanic ranges in Costa Rica (Alvarado, 1993). There have been numerous time-series studies of lava and gas chemistry variations, particularly at Poás Volcano (e.g., Prosser and Carr, 1987; Rowe et al., 1992; Martínez et al., 2000; Vaselli et al., 2003). Fortuitously, over the course of our monitoring period, both volcanoes experienced significant changes in fumarole characteristics (temperature, intensity and areal extent) and eruptive behavior, providing us with the opportunity to assess the effects of this activity on the He-CO<sub>2</sub> volatile systematics of the fumaroles.

In this contribution, we report the He and  $CO_2$  record—isotope variations and relative abundance characteristics—for three fumarole sites at Poás and Turrialba from 2001 to 2009. Although the time-series is discontinuous, we show here that there were significant varia-

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author (e-mail: drhilton@ucsd.edu)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Present address: Department of Geology and Geophysics, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543 U.S.A.

Copyright © 2010 by The Geochemical Society of Japan.



Fig. 1. Location of sampling localities within Poás crater. View to the north from the lookout at the National Park. 1, location of sampling at 2003 IAVCEI Gas Chemistry workshop; 2, Naranja Fumarole; 3, Dome. Photo: 2007 (D. Hilton).



Fig. 2. Location of sampling sites at Turrialba Volcano. View towards the west. 1, West Crater; 2, West Crater (outer wall); 3, Central Crater. Photo: 2007 (E. Füri).

tions in helium and carbon isotope values and in the relative abundances of the two gases over the monitoring period. We suggest that these changes mainly reflect variations in the provenance of the  $CO_2$  which may be degassed from differing parts of the magmatic system which, in turn, have undergone varying degrees of crustal interaction. Such inferences are not possible through single site visits alone. Our studies at Poás and Turrialba attest to the benefits of initiating and maintaining routine monitoring programs at active and sensitive volcanoes over extended periods of time.

### SAMPLING STRATEGY AND LOCATIONS

Our monitoring program started at both Poás and Turrialba volcanoes in January, 2001 with further visits taking place in March and July of the same year. The He and CO<sub>2</sub> results from all three sampling visits were published by Shaw *et al.* (2003) in a study aimed at quantifying the efficiency of CO<sub>2</sub> recycling between the Middle America Trench and the Costa Rica-Nicaragua segment of the Central America Volcanic Front. Continuing studies in Costa Rica, and other regions of Central America, gave us opportunities to return to Poás an additional eight times for sampling and to Turrialba three more times, with the last visit being in January, 2009.

At Poás Volcano, we sampled fumarole fields at three different locations on the crater floor. The most continuous record (2001–2007) was obtained from the fumarole field located at the base of the inner crater wall on the eastern side of the crater (Site 1). Starting in 2005, we sampled Naranja fumarole (Site 2) which is found fur-

ther north along the eastern wall of the crater. For the last two visits, we targeted fumaroles located at or near the base of the prominent dome, next to the southern edge of the crater lake (Site 3). Figure 1 shows the approximate location of all three sampling sites.

Sampling at Turrialba Volcano took place at two sites: the West Crater (Sites 1 and 2) and the Central Crater (Site 3)—see Fig. 2. Various fumaroles located on the main crater wall, adjacent to the West Crater, were sampled in 2001 (Site 1) whereas the outer wall of the West Crater was sampled in 2009 (Site 2). Fumaroles at or close to the Central Crater were sampled in odd numbered years from 2005 onwards. The two craters are about 500 meters apart. Starting in June, 2005, the level of fumarolic activity in the West Crater increased markedly, leading to extensive areas of vegetation kills. This activity continues in both the West and Central craters (as of January, 2010).

It should be noted that significant changes occurred at the various fumaroles fields over the course of the monitoring period. These changes included fumaroles becoming more/less vigorous as well as fumarole temperatures increasing/decreasing. There were also changes in the area of fumarolic activity at some sites. A more detailed account of these changes, occurring at both Poás and Turrialba, can be found on-line in reports of the Bulletin of the Global Volcanism Network (2001–2009) (see: www.volcano.si.edu). We emphasize, however, that we endeavored to maintain integrity and continuity of the sampling record by targeting either the same fumaroles or fumaroles as close as possible to the location of fumaroles sampled previously.

# SEISMIC AND VOLCANIC ACTIVITY

Details of seismic and volcanic activity at Poás and Turrialba volcanoes can be found at the archives of the Global Volcanology Program (GVP) and are also readily accessible on-line (www.volcano.si.edu). These archives include both official and individual reports. Here, we describe the main changes that occurred at both volcanoes over the monitoring period.

Briefly, the period 2001–2009 showed marked changes at both Poás and Turrialba volcanoes. The early part of the monitoring period at Poás was characterized by a generally low level of seismicity but with some changes in the level of fumarolic activity, the occurrence of occasional mass wasting events and variations in the water level, temperature and pH of the crater lake. In March, 2006, however, there was a series of small phreatic eruptions from the crater lake with the ejection of water, blocks and sediment. These eruptions were accompanied by seismic tremor events. Further phreatic eruptions occurred in September, 2006 with ejection of some material to a height of over 350 meters: this was sufficiently powerful that some material was ejected over the western crater wall. More phreatic eruptions from the lake took place in January, 2008 with material erupted to a height of ~200 meters. The most intense seismic activity of the entire monitoring period occurred on January 8th, 2009 when a M = 6.2 earthquake struck ~ 10 km to the east of the crater. This event caused significant landslides within the crater and intense fumarolic activity returned to the dome area. Fortuitously, samples were collected a few hours before this event.

Seismicity at Turrialba Volcano started to increase in 1996 and reached a maximum of >9000 events/year in 2001. Since that time, the seismic level has remained elevated but significantly lower than the maximum of 2001. The 2001–2006 period also saw increased fumarole activity in the Central Crater with significant impacts on local vegetation. Fumarole activity also increased in the West Crater starting in 2005. By the summer of 2007, new fractures appeared at the summit accompanied by enhanced fumarolic activity including the deposition of native sulfur. Micro-seismicity also increased from this time.

#### **ANALYTICAL APPROACH**

All samples from both volcanoes were collected in evacuated 1720-glass flasks. Standard collection procedures were adopted to minimize the possible contamination of samples by air (Hilton *et al.*, 2002). In the laboratory, the samples were prepared for analysis using instrumentation and protocols described previously (e.g., Shaw *et al.*, 2003; De Leeuw *et al.*, 2007). Briefly, all samples were extracted on a dedicated preparation line with a fraction of the non-condensable gas (containing He and Ne) captured in a 1720-glass breakseal. All the  $CO_2$  was condensed into a Pyrex breakseal following separation from water vapor, active gases (N<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub>) and heavy noble gases (Ar, Kr and Xe).

Helium and neon analyses were carried out on a MAP-215 noble gas mass spectrometer. First, the gas was released from the breakseal and prepared for analysis using a series of traps, held at liquid nitrogen temperature, and active-gas getters. Helium was separated from neon using a helium-cooled refrigeration stage interfaced to a trap lined with activated charcoal. All sample <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He ratios were normalized to standard aliquots of air processed and analyzed under identical conditions.

Carbon dioxide was purified on a dedicated line using a variable temperature trap designed to separate  $CO_2$ from sulfur-bearing species. Following clean-up, the amount of  $CO_2$  was measured using a capacitance gauge manometer in a calibrated volume, prior to freezing an aliquot of the  $CO_2$  in a Pyrex breakseal. For isotope analysis, the  $CO_2$  aliquot was inlet into a VG Prism stable isotope mass spectrometer (for samples collected prior to 2007) or a Thermo-Finnigan Delta XP<sup>Plus</sup> Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (for samples collected in 2007 and later).

### RESULTS

All results obtained on samples collected at Poás Volcano are reported in Table 1. Table 2 gives all data for samples collected at Turrialba volcano. Helium isotope results ( ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$ ) are reported in the R/R<sub>A</sub> notation where R = sample  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  and R<sub>A</sub> = air  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  (=1.4 × 10<sup>-6</sup>). The air-normalized He/Ne ratio is multiplied by a factor 1.22 which represents the ratio of the Bunsen solubility coefficients of Ne to He at 15°C (Ozima and Podosek, 2002). The resulting value (X) is given in column 6 of Tables 1 and 2. It is used to estimate the air-corrected  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  ratio (R<sub>C</sub>) of the sample (see Hilton, 1996). As X-values are high for the majority of samples of this study, the correction factor is small and hence there is little difference between measured and corrected <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He ratios. The relative abundance of He to  $CO_2$  is reported as the  $CO_2/^3$ He ratio with the isotopic composition of the carbon  $({}^{13}C/{}^{12}C)$  given in the delta notation  $(\delta^{13}C)$  as the per mil deviation from the PDB standard.

In the following description of results, we include data reported previously for the 2001 sampling campaign (Shaw *et al.*, 2003). In addition, we point out that while duplicate samples generally show good agreement in all three monitored parameters (<sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He,  $\delta^{13}$ C and CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He), there are exceptions—notably duplicates collected in March, 2001 (Site 1, Poás), February, 2007 (Site 2, Poás)

Sampling locality	Sample ID	Date of sampling	Temp. (°C)	$(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{A}})_{\mathrm{m}}^{(1)}$	X <sup>(2)</sup>	$(R/R_a)_c^{(3),(4)}$	CO <sub>2</sub> / <sup>3</sup> He <sup>(4)</sup> (×10 <sup>9</sup> )	δ <sup>13</sup> C <sup>(4)</sup> (‰ PDB)	(L+S) <sup>(5)</sup> /M	L/S
Site 1 - Workshop location	CR-8	01/2001*	76	7.10	1670	$7.10 \pm 0.1$	$15.9 \pm 0.8$	-5.6	9.6	2.2
(N10°11′53.0″; W84°13′43.1″)	CR-9 (dup)			6.90	1186	$6.90\pm0.1$	$15.4 \pm 0.8$			
	CR2-6	03/2001*	92	7.21	1190	$7.22\pm0.1$	$12.4\pm0.7$	_		
	CR2-14 (dup)			7.56	469	$7.60\pm0.1$	$9.9\pm0.5$	-6.8	5.6	1.7
	CR3-17	07/2001*	93	7.12	440	$7.14\pm0.1$	$15.3\pm0.8$	-5.8	9.2	2.1
	Poas 3	03/2003	98	7.07	91.3	$7.14\pm0.1$	$20.3\pm0.3$	-3.5		
	Poas 5			7.15	596	$7.16\pm0.1$	$24.0\pm0.4$	-3.5	15.0	3.6
	CR-09	06/2005	220	6.90	274	$6.92\pm0.1$	$26.3\pm0.8$	-1.3	16.6	7.4
	Costa-1	02/2006	113	7.14	488	$7.15\pm0.1$	$20.4\pm0.3$	-2.4		
	Costa-2 (dup)			7.25	576	$7.26\pm0.1$	$22.9\pm0.3$	-2.5	14.3	4.8
	Cost-4	11/2006	113	6.44	28	$6.64\pm0.1$	$47.2\pm0.8$	-2.2	30.6	5.1
	CR-4	02/2007	115	7.12	386	$7.13\pm0.2$	$16.4\pm1$	-4.9	10.0	2.6
	CR07-05	06/2007	115	6.99	475	$7.01\pm0.3$	$17.8\pm1.9$	-3.5	10.9	3.7
Site 2 - Naranja Fumarole	Poas	06/2005	250	7.20	2734	$7.20 \pm 0.4$	_	_		
(N10°12′00.4″; W84°13′51.4″)	Costa-6	02/2006	153	6.96	1133	$6.96 \pm 0.1$	$17.4 \pm 0.3$	-1.8		
	Costa-7 (dup)			7.11	271	$7.14 \pm 0.1$	$23.3 \pm 0.3$	-1.5	14.6	6.8
	Cost-1	11/2006	97	6.93	76	$7.01 \pm 0.3$	$59.5 \pm 1.7$	_		
	CR-1	02/2007	115	6.55	42	$6.66\pm0.2$	$16.1 \pm 1$	-4.2		
	CR-3 (dup)			6.96	136	$7.00\pm0.2$	$18.2 \pm 1.2$	-2.8	11.2	4.5
	CR07-02	06/2007	117	7.24	116	$7.29\pm0.3$	$20.3\pm2.2$	-3.8	12.6	3.4
	CR07-03 (dup)			7.16	225	$7.18\pm0.3$	$19.7 \pm 2.1$	-3.6		
	CR08-07	03/2008	93	6.79	27	$7.01\pm0.1$	$14.9\pm0.4$	-3.8	9.0	3.4
Site 3 - Base of Dome	CR08-04	03/2008	93	7.06	215	$7.09 \pm 0.1$	$11.0 \pm 0.3$	-2.8	6.3	4.8
(N10°11'47.6"; W84°13'48.1")	CR08-05 (dup)			7.04	228	$7.06 \pm 0.1$	$20.0\pm0.5$	-2.5		
	CR01/09-03	01/2009	93	7.19	103	$7.24 \pm 0.1$	_	_		
	CR01/09-11 (dup)			7.37	138	$7.40\pm0.7$	—	—		

Table 1. Temporal record of helium and carbon isotope and relative abundance relationships at Poás volcano, Costa Rica

Dashed line (---) indicates "not determined".

n.a. = Not available.

Asterisk (\*) denotes dates of sampling reported in Shaw et al. (2003)-2001 data only.

 ${}^{(1)}R_m/R_A$  is the measured  ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He$  ratio divided by the  ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He$  in air =  $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ .

 $^{(2)}X = ({}^{4}He/{}^{20}Ne)_{measured}/({}^{4}He/{}^{20}Ne)_{air} \times \beta Ne/\beta He and \beta represents the Bunsen coefficients from Weiss (1971) assuming a groundwater recharge temperature of 15 °C.$ 

 ${}^{(3)}R_C/R_A \ is \ the \ air-corrected \ He \ isotope \ ratio = [(R_m/R_A \times X) - 1]/(X - 1).$ 

<sup>(4)</sup>All errors are reported at the  $1\sigma$  level,  $\delta^{13}C$  errors are  $\pm 0.3\%$ .

 $^{(5)}L$ , S and M represent CO<sub>2</sub> of limestone, sedimentary organic and mantle origin, respectively (see text for explanation).

and July, 2001 (Site 1, Turrialba). In each of these cases, we include in the discussion only the results of the duplicate sample with the highest air-corrected <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He value ( $R_C$ )—the assumption being that there is a greater degree of uncertainty associated with data of the sample having the lower  $R_C/R_A$  value.

#### Helium isotopes

The air-corrected  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  ratio (R<sub>C</sub>) at Poás volcano falls between a maximum value of 7.6 R<sub>A</sub> (Site 1; March 2001) and a minimum ratio of 6.7 R<sub>A</sub> (Site 2; February 2007). Thus, helium is predominantly of mantle origin with evidence of a small but discernible addition of radiogenic helium at all locations. In a two-component mixture of MORB-like He (8 R<sub>A</sub>; Graham, 2002) and radio-

genic He (0.05  $R_A$ ; Andrews, 1985) the proportion of mantle helium at Poás varies between 95 and 84%.

There is little evidence of a significant difference in  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  ratios between the three sampling sites within Poás crater. The mean (±one standard deviation) aircorrected  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  ratio ( $R_{C}$ ) at Site 1 (7.12 ± 0.26; n = 9), Site 2 (7.11 ± 0.12; n = 6), and Site 3 (7.25 ± 0.22; n = 2) is identical within error. This approach assumes all samples are drawn from the same population—an assumption that may not necessarily be true if the isotopic composition of He reflects different mixtures of magmatic and radiogenic helium.

At Turrialba, the maximum and minimum air-corrected  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  ratios are 8.0 R<sub>A</sub> (Site 1; March, 2001) and 6.9 R<sub>A</sub> (Site 3; June, 2005), respectively. In this instance, the

Table 2. Temporal record of helium and carbon isotope and relative abundance relationships at Turrialba volcano, Costa Rica

Sampling locality	Sample ID	Date of sampling	Temp. (°C)	$(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{A}})_{\mathrm{m}}^{(1)}$	X <sup>(2)</sup>	$(R/R_a)_c^{(3),(4)}$	CO <sub>2</sub> / <sup>3</sup> He <sup>(4)</sup> (×10 <sup>9</sup> )	δ <sup>13</sup> C <sup>(4)</sup> (‰ PDB)	(L+S) <sup>(5)</sup> /M	L/S
Site 1 - West Crater	CR-2	01/2001*	90	_	_			-3.3		
N10°01'09.4"; W83°45'56.2"	CR-3 (dup)			7.73	671	$7.74\pm0.07$	$14.3\pm0.7$	-4.1	8.6	3.2
	CR2-1	03/2001*	90	8.10	602	$8.01\pm0.1$	$9.8\pm0.5$	-4.4		
	CR2-2 (dup)			8.10	979	$8.01\pm0.1$	$9.4\pm0.5$	-3.8	5.3	3.6
	CR3-2	07/2001*	84	7.69	565	$7.70\pm0.07$	$16.1\pm0.8$	-4.2	9.8	3.1
Site 2 - West Crater (outer wall) N10°01'09.1"; W83°45'49.9"	CR01/09-16	01/2009	92	7.68	1757	$7.68\pm0.2$	—	—		
Site 3 - Central Crater	CR-14	06/2005	88	6.52	17.1	$6.86\pm0.2$	19.6±1	-2.7	12.1	4.6
N10°01'14.4"; W83°45'47.1"	CR-12 (dup)			6.53	17.9	$6.85\pm0.2$	$18.4 \pm 1$	-2.7		
	CR-09	06/2007	88	7.44	417	$7.48\pm0.3$	$12.5 \pm 1$	-3.8	7.3	3.5
	CR01/09-13	01/2009	89	7.09	2742	$7.09\pm0.2$	—	—		

Dashed line (---) indicates "not determined".

Asterik (\*) denotes dates of sampling reported in Shaw et al. (2003)-2001 data only.

 ${}^{(1)}R_m/R_A$  is the measured  ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He$  ratio divided by the  ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He$  in air =  $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ .

 $^{(2)}X = ({}^{4}He/{}^{20}Ne)_{measured}/({}^{4}He/{}^{20}Ne)_{air} \times \beta Ne/\beta He and \beta represents the Bunsen coefficients from Weiss (1971) assuming a groundwater recharge temperature of 15 °C.$ 

 ${}^{(3)}R_C/R_A \text{ is the air-corrected He isotope ratio} = [(R_m/R_A \times X) - 1]/(X - 1).$ 

<sup>(4)</sup>All errors are reported at the  $1\sigma$  level,  $\delta^{13}C$  errors are  $\pm 0.3\%$ .

 $^{(5)}L$ , S and M represent  $CO_2$  of limestone, sedimentary organic and mantle origin, respectively (see text for explanation).

mantle-derived component varies from 100–86% assuming the same endmember  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  values as above. A noticeable difference in the case of Turrialba is that there is a significant difference in  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  ratios between the two sampling localities. The West Crater (Sites 1 and 2) has an average  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  value over the monitoring period of 7.78 R<sub>A</sub> (±0.15; *n* = 4) whereas the average value for the Central Crater (Site 3) is lower at 7.14 R<sub>A</sub> (±0.31; *n* = 3). These results are consistent with a greater contribution of crustal helium at the site of the Central Crater.

# Carbon isotopes

The carbon isotope record at Poás Volcano shows significant variability—with a difference of 5.5% found at one site (Site 1). The highest  $\delta^{13}$ C value was recorded in June, 2005 (-1.3%) and the lowest was -6.8% in March, 2001. The average value of  $\delta^{13}$ C found at this site is -4.0  $\pm 1.9\%$  (n = 9) so the extreme values fall outside the one sigma uncertainty envelope. However, there is no evidence (within error) for a difference in  $\delta^{13}$ C between sites: Site 2 (Naranja fumaroles) has a mean  $\delta^{13}$ C value of -3.0  $\pm 1.1\%$  (n = 4), and the sole value for Site 3 (Dome) is -2.8‰, again falling within the range of the error estimate for Site 1.

The absolute  $\delta^{13}$ C values at Turrialba are similar to those found at Poás. The Central Crater (Site 3) is characterized by a mean  $\delta^{13}$ C value of  $-3.3 \pm 0.8\%$  (n = 2) whereas the West Crater (Sites 1 and 2) has a slightly lower value of  $-4.0 \pm 0.2\%$  (n = 3).

# $CO_2/^3He \ ratios$

The relative proportions of CO<sub>2</sub> and He (given as the  $CO_2/^3$ He value) fall within the range 8.2–59.5 (×10<sup>9</sup>) at Poás. Therefore, all values are significantly greater than the value usually associated with MORB-mantle (~2 × 10<sup>9</sup>; Marty and Jambon, 1987). This range is consistent with the addition of CO<sub>2</sub>—from either the subducting Cocos Plate or as crustal contamination from the overriding Caribbean Plate—to mantle-wedge derived carbon. Within the crater of Poás, there is little difference in the mean values of CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He (×10<sup>9</sup>) at Site 1 (21.7 ± 11) and Site 2 (25.6 ± 17).

There is also good agreement in  $CO_2/{}^3$ He between the two craters at Turrialba Volcano although the absolute values are slightly lower than at Poás. At the West Crater (Sites 1 and 2), the  $CO_2/{}^3$ He ratio is  $13.3 \pm 3 \ (\times 10^9)$  whereas it is  $16.1 \pm 5 \ (\times 10^9)$  at the Central Crater. Again, the values are similar to that found at other subduction-related volcanoes worldwide (Sano and Williams, 1996).

#### DISCUSSION

There are a number of potential processes that could contribute to the variations observed in the three monitored parameters of this study. In the next section (Subsection "Controls on variations in <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He,  $\delta^{13}$ C and CO<sub>2</sub>/ <sup>3</sup>He values"), we evaluate near-surface or shallow processes which can act to fractionate He and CO<sub>2</sub> and modify  $\delta^{13}$ C values. In the following two sections, we assess the temporal record of (a) He–CO<sub>2</sub> variations (Subsection "Temporal variations in <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He,  $\delta^{13}$ C and CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He values"), and (b) the modeled provenance of CO<sub>2</sub> (Subsection "Temporal variations in modeled parameters: L-M-S components"), and show how they lead to the identification of crust-mantle interaction as the main control on the variations observed at both Poás and Turrialba volcanoes (Subsection "Crustal versus mantle volatiles").

# Controls on variations in ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He$ , $\delta^{I3}C$ and $CO_{2}/{}^{3}He$ values

Near surface interactions within hydrothermal systems have the potential to affect He-CO<sub>2</sub> relationships (e.g., Ray et al., 2009). At Poás, there is an extensive nearsurface hydrothermal system, which interacts with the acid crater lake (Rowe et al., 1992). The observation that the majority of samples have high <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He (this study) with prior work showing low temperature fumaroles at Poás having C/S ratios > 1 (Zimmer et al., 2004) points to extensive interaction between volatiles of deep-seated (magmatic) origin and the shallow aqueous system. Indeed, it is the hydrothermal system which acts to transfer the magmatic volatiles to the atmosphere via fumaroles on the lake shore. We anticipate that any increased shallowlevel interaction with the crater lake over the course of the monitoring period would be manifested in lowering of fumarole  $CO_2/^3$ He values, due to higher solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in the water phase (Wilhelm et al., 1977), accompanied by a decrease in temperature. There is scant evidence of such trends at Poás or Turrialba volcanoes (Tables 1 and 2). For example, the gradual increase in temperature at Site 1 at Poás observed over the course of the monitoring period is not accompanied by an increase in  $CO_2/^3$ He values. Even the observation of molten sulfur at Site 1 and corresponding spike in temperature in June, 2005 did not affect the  $CO_2/^3$ He value significantly. Likewise, there is no obvious correlation at the Naranja fumarole (Site 2, Poás). At Turrialba,  $CO_2/^3$ He values vary by a factor of two, yet temperature fluctuations are muted and fall within 6°C. Thus, we conclude that observed variations in He-CO<sub>2</sub> are not influenced to any great extent by hydrothermal interaction.

Another obvious process that may affect monitored He–CO<sub>2</sub> values is magmatic degassing, in which case, gas loss from a magmatic body would produce large and discernible trends in both CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He and  $\delta^{13}$ C values. Such trends depend upon the mode (open vs. closed system) and extent of gas loss, and would result in a decrease in CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He and  $\delta^{13}$ C for magma of tholeiitic composition. Using fractionation factors of 2.35 and –4‰ respectively (see discussion Ray *et al.*, 2009), CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He and  $\delta^{13}$ C values of 47.2 × 10<sup>9</sup> and –2.2‰ (highest values at Site 1) to 20.1 × 10<sup>9</sup> and –6.2‰ in closed system mode. In the case of

open-system or Rayleigh degassing,  $\delta^{13}$ C would fall to -13% as the residual CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He value decreased to  $9.9 \times 10^9$  (the lowest measured value at Site 1). By considering both the absolute values of the measured parameters and changes compared to the previous sampling period (Tables 1 and 2), there are no indications for degassing control on the He–CO<sub>2</sub> systematics of either volcano by closed or open system gas loss.

Finally, other possible controls on the He–CO<sub>2</sub> systematics include calcite precipitation within the hydrothermal system which can act to decrease  $CO_2/^3$ He values and modify  $\delta^{13}$ C ratios depending upon the temperature (see Ray *et al.*, 2009). Evaluation of this possibility is difficult without detailed gas/fluid geothermometry but we note that if temperatures of the hydrothermal system at Poás are close to boiling point, loss of CO<sub>2</sub> should be accompanied by a discernible decrease in  $\delta^{13}$ C of the gaseous CO<sub>2</sub>. Again, we point out that variations in  $\delta^{13}$ C at all our monitored localities cover a restricted range only so that while we cannot dismiss this possibility, it must be regarded as remote.

We now turn our attention to the temporal variability in <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He,  $\delta^{13}$ C and CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He and consider if changes in the source or provenance of the volatiles can account for the observed variations. In particular, we focus on the two obvious sources of volatiles in the active volcanic systems of Poás and Turrialba, namely mantle-derived volatiles characteristic of magmatic intrusions within the system and crustal volatiles that may be added to the system by assimilation, stoping or any other mechanism associated with crust-magma interaction.

# Temporal variations in ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He$ , $\delta^{I3}C$ and $CO_{2}/{}^{3}He$ values

The temporal record of the three parameters monitored as part of this study ( ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$ ,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\text{CO}_{2}/{}^{3}\text{He}$ ) for Poás Volcano is plotted in Fig. 3. The figure includes data from all three sites in the crater. Also plotted are the times of the phreatic eruptions of 2006 and 2008 as well as the timing of the earthquake in January, 2009.

There are a number of features of the Poás record that merit comment. In the first instance, we are interested in whether changes occur for only one of the monitored parameters or if three parameters change simultaneously. Additionally, we make a note if there is a relationship between the occurrence of seismic/volcanic activity and changes in He and/or  $CO_2$  characteristics. We point out the following four features of the Poás record (which are labeled on Fig. 3):

1. There is a discernible increase in  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  at Site 1 in March, 2001 relative to adjacent sampling times of January and July, 2001. The increase in  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  is accompanied by a sympathetic decrease in both  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\text{CO}_{2}/{}^{3}\text{He}$ . There were no hydrophreatic eruptions or any



Fig. 3. Temporal variations in  ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He (R_{C}/R_{A}), CO_{2}/{}^{3}He and \delta^{13}C$  values at Poás Volcano over the time period 2001–2009. Times of crater phreatic eruptions are indicated by black arrows; the time of the M = 6.2 earthquake (EQ) in 2009 is plotted as a grey arrow. Symbols: open circles (Site 1), filled circles (Site 2) and crossed circle (Site 3). Numbered lines represent temporal trends in  ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He$ ,  $CO_{2}/{}^{3}He$  and/or  ${}^{5}C$ —see text for discussion.

anomalous seismic events over this time period.

2. There is a steady increase in  $\delta^{13}$ C at Site 1 between January, 2001 and June, 2005. Thereafter, the  $\delta^{13}$ C falls to values observed in 2003. The decrease in  $\delta^{13}$ C at Site 1 (post 2005) is accompanied by a decrease in  $\delta^{13}$ C at Site 2. There is a muted response in the CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He signal which mirrors that of  $\delta^{13}$ C. There are no discernible changes in <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He. The inflection point for the  $\delta^{13}$ C trend (June, 2005) occurred at least 9 months before the first phreatic eruption in 2006.

3. A sharp increase in the  $CO_2/^3$ He signal is apparent in November, 2006 at both Site 1 and Site 2. This is accompanied by a decrease in  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  at Site 1 but not at Site 2. The  $\delta^{13}$ C value at Site 1 increases slightly in November, 2006 compared to values obtained at adjacent (prior and following) sampling times: unfortunately, the  $\delta^{13}$ C value for Site 2 at this time was not determined. A series of small phreatic eruptions occurred in the crater approximately 1 month prior to (late September) and 1 month after (mid December) the changes in He–CO<sub>2</sub>.

4. Sampling of the Dome location (Site 3) a few hours prior to the 2009 earthquake revealed that its  ${}^{3}$ He/ ${}^{4}$ He ratio had increased from 7.1 to 7.4 R<sub>A</sub> since the previous sampling time of March, 2008. No data was obtained for the other parameters.

The temporal record of Turrialba Volcano is less com-



Fig. 4. Temporal variations in  ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He$  ( $R_{C}/R_{A}$ ),  $CO_{2}/{}^{3}He$  and  $\delta^{I3}C$  values at Turrialba Volcano over the time period 2001–2009. Open symbols, Sites 1 and 2 (West Crater); filled symbols, Site 2 (Central Crater). Numbered lines represent temporal trends in  ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He$ ,  $CO_{2}/{}^{3}He$  and/or  $\delta^{I3}C$ —see text for discussion.

plete than that of Poás (Fig. 4): nevertheless, there are a number of noteworthy points:

1. There is an increase in <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He in March, 2001 at the West Crater (Site 1) compared to values obtained in January and July, 2001. The same effect is seen at Poás although the volcanoes are >100 km apart. The increase in <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He at Turrialba is mirrored by an increase in  $\delta^{13}$ C but a decrease in CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He. These changes coincided with the observation that the number of earthquakes reached a peak at Turrialba in 2001.

2. There is a marked increase in  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  at the Central Crater (Site 3) between June, 2005 and the following sampling visit in June, 2007. This change coincided with an increase in fumarolic activity at Turrialba which started in 2005. The change in  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  is inversely correlated with changes in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\text{CO}_{2}/{}^{3}\text{He}$ .

3. Helium isotopes at the Central Crater (Site 2) decreased from a high in 2007 (7.5  $R_A$ ) to an intermediate value (7.1  $R_A$ ) in 2009. The <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He ratio at the Central Crater remained low relative to all values obtained for the West Crater (2001 and 2009 data).

In summary, there are a number of instances in the records of both Poás and Turrialba volcanoes where there are simultaneous changes in the isotope characteristics (both <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He and  $\delta^{13}$ C) and relative abundances. Specifically, these are observations 1 and 3 above for Poás and observations 1 and 2 above for Turrialba. The long(er) term change in  $\delta^{13}$ C at Poás (observation 2) is not ac-

companied by clear changes in  $CO_2/{}^{3}He$  or  ${}^{3}He/{}^{4}He$ . Incomplete records for 2009 (Poás—observation 4 and Turrialba—observation 3) preclude further detailed analysis.

# Temporal variations in modeled parameters: L-M-S components

The changes in the isotopic composition of  $CO_2$  at Poás and Turrialba coupled with variations in its abundance relative to He are consistent with variations in the provenance (origin) of this major gas species. There are three generally regarded sources of CO<sub>2</sub> at subductionzone volcanoes-mantle wedge (M), and limestone (L) and organic sediments (S) of the subducting plate (Marty et al., 1989; Sano and Marty, 1995; Sano and Williams, 1996). In addition, the overriding arc crust can also be regarded as a source of CO<sub>2</sub>, although in this case, the Land S-components are expected to dominate. Thus, there are a number of potential sources that can contribute to the total CO<sub>2</sub> vented at the surface. Changes in the relative proportions of these sources would be expected to be reflected in variations in the measured values of  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $CO_2/^3$ He. In the following analysis, therefore, we model the provenance of the CO<sub>2</sub> at Poás and Turrialba using the three endmember compositions cited above, prior to considering if changes reflect processes occurring in the mantle source of the CO<sub>2</sub> versus the near-surface, crustal environment.

The approach used follows closely that adopted in our previously work in Central America (Shaw *et al.*, 2003; De Leeuw *et al.*, 2007). We assume that the mantle wedge (M), limestone (L) and sediments (S) can be characterized by distinctive  $\delta^{13}$ C and CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He characteristics. In order, these are: M = ( $\delta^{13}$ C = -6.5‰ and CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He = 1.5 × 10<sup>9</sup>), L = ( $\delta^{13}$ C = +1.9‰ and CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He = 1 × 10<sup>13</sup>) and S = ( $\delta^{13}$ C = -22‰ and CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He = 1 × 10<sup>13</sup>)—see De Leeuw *et al.* (2007) for details. Furthermore, we assume that the measured  $\delta^{13}$ C and CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He values reflect the sum of contributions from the three endmember sources, such that the following mass balance equations apply:

$$({}^{13}C/{}^{12}C)_{0} = f_{M}({}^{13}C/{}^{12}C)_{M} + f_{L}({}^{13}C/{}^{12}C)_{L} + f_{S}({}^{13}C/{}^{12}C)_{S}$$
(1)

$$\frac{1}{({}^{12}C/{}^{3}He)_{O}} = f_{M}/({}^{12}C/{}^{3}He)_{M} + f_{L}/({}^{12}C/{}^{3}He)_{L} + f_{S}/({}^{12}C/{}^{3}He)_{S}$$
(2)

$$f_M + f_L + f_S = 1$$
 (3)

where "O" stands for observed, and "f" refers to the fraction of component M, L or S.

Under these conditions, we can resolve the provenance of the  $CO_2$  into M-, L- and S-contributions. In Tables 1 and 2, we present these results as (a) the ratio of lime-



Fig. 5. Temporal variations in the provenance of  $CO_2$  in terms of (L+S)/M and L/S (see text for details) at Poás and Turrialba volcanoes over the time period 2001–2009. Symbols as in Figs. 3 and 4.

stone plus sediment to mantle wedge contributions, i.e., (L+S)/M, and (b) the ratio of limestone to organic sediment contributions (L/S). The temporal record of both these parameters is plotted in Fig. 5.

The temporal variations in (L+S)/M and L/S allow us to determine the likely cause(s) behind the observed changes in  $\delta^{13}$ C and CO<sub>2</sub>/<sup>3</sup>He at the various monitored sites. Referring to the observed changes in the order listed in the previous section, we note for Poás:

1) March, 2001: Changes at Site 1 reflect an increase in the mantle wedge contribution to the  $CO_2$  inventory. The proportions of L- and S-derived  $CO_2$  remains almost constant.

2) June, 2005: The proportion of L-derived  $CO_2$  increases between 2001 and 2005 and diminishes thereafter. This is reflected in a slight increase in the non-mantle wedge contribution (L+S).

3) November, 2006: The sharp spike in  $CO_2/{}^{3}$ He likely reflects a large increase in the non-mantle wedge contribution (L+S).

In the case of Turrialba:

1) March, 2001: The sharp decrease in  $CO_2/{}^{3}$ He likely reflects an increase in the mantle wedge contribution to the  $CO_2$  inventory.

2) June 2005–June, 2007: These changes are consistent with both a decrease in L/S and (L+S)/M, i.e., a decrease in the relative L-contribution at the expense of an increase in the M-contribution.

These observations can be summarized by noting that in three cases (observation 1 at Poás and both observations at Turrialba), the observed changes reflect an increase in the relative proportion of mantle-derived  $CO_2$ . The latter two changes at Poás (between 2001 and 2005, and November, 2006) were caused by a relative increase in the non-mantle, particularly the L-derived component. The question that now arises is whether these changes reflect processes occurring in the mantle wedge, and thereby trace subduction zone effects, or if crust-mantle interaction and crustal contamination are the principal controls.

# Crustal versus mantle volatiles

Prior work on the He–CO<sub>2</sub> systematics of Costa Rica and other Central America volcanoes adopted a screening filter to help ensure that only mantle-derived volatiles are considered and resolved into component structures (Shaw et al., 2003; De Leeuw et al., 2007). This process involves selecting only geothermal samples with <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He ratios that fall within the MORB-range ( $8 \pm 1 R_A$ ; Graham, 2002), with the implicit assumption that crustal volatiles—characterized by lower <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He ratios—are absent. In this way, the provenance of L- and S-components can be ascribed to the down-going slab and be used to trace recycling of CO2 to the surface. However, the possibility exists that the crust of the overriding plate (the Caribbean Plate in this case) can release carbon-if present in crustal lithologies-as a result of interaction with mantle-derived melts. This has been clearly seen at geothermal localities and volcanic systems sited on thickened crust (e.g., Chile; Ray et al., 2009). The anticipated signal of such crustal interaction is that <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He would be expected to be lowered due to release of radiogenic helium produced in-situ in crustal rocks. Under these circumstances, some of the  $CO_2$  is also likely to have an origin in the crust.

The changes in the He–CO<sub>2</sub> record at Poás up to June, 2005 and in November, 2006 provide a means to test this hypothesis. Both periods involved an increase in the proportion of CO<sub>2</sub> derived from (L+S) sources. If indeed this increase has a crustal origin then the  ${}^{3}$ He/<sup>4</sup>He ratios of these samples would be expected to decrease.

As can be observed in Fig. 3, the  ${}^{3}$ He/ ${}^{4}$ He ratio at Site 1 in Poás decreases from a high of 7.6 R<sub>A</sub> (March, 2001) through 7.2 R<sub>A</sub> (June, 2003) to 6.9 R<sub>A</sub> (June, 2005). Thereafter, it is higher at all times except November, 2006. Therefore, there seems to be an inverse correlation between  ${}^{3}$ He/ ${}^{4}$ He ratios at this locality and the proportion of CO<sub>2</sub> derived from the L+S components. This relationship is consistent with a crustal origin for at least a proportion of the non-mantle CO<sub>2</sub>.

It is also informative to consider the  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  ratios prior to and following the large spike in (L+S)/M ratio of November, 2006. The  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  value in February, 2006 was 7.3 R<sub>A</sub> whereas it decreased to 6.6 R<sub>A</sub> in November before returning to an intermediate value of 7.1 R<sub>A</sub> in February, 2007. The same inverse correlation between  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  and (L+S)-derived CO<sub>2</sub> is evident. Both these instances suggest that there is an increased contribution of crustal-liberated CO<sub>2</sub> to that transferred from depth

via mantle-derived melts. Interestingly, the phreatic eruptions that have characterized Poás in recent years (Fig. 3) have all occurred after June, 2005. Whether increased crustal interaction with mantle-derived melts has contributed to the eruptive activity remains an open question.

Finally, we consider the 3 instances when the proportion of mantle-derived CO<sub>2</sub> has increased (March, 2001 at Poás and Turrialba, and from June, 2005 to June, 2007 at Turrialba). Circumstances that might lead to an increase in the proportion of mantle-derived CO<sub>2</sub> would be release of volatiles from mantle-derived magma which had undergone minimal or negligible wall-rock interaction with crust. In this case, <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He ratios could be expected to increase relative to adjacent sampling times. This is clearly the case in March, 2001 at Poás and Turrialbamaximum  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  ratios of 7.6 R<sub>A</sub> and 8.0 R<sub>A</sub>, respectively, were recorded for the March, 2007 sampling period compared to results for either the preceding January or July periods. The same observation characterizes <sup>3</sup>He/ <sup>4</sup>He ratios at Turrialba from 2005 to 2007—values increased from 6.9  $R_A$  to 7.5  $R_A$  in the Central Crater (Site 2) as the proportion of mantle-derived CO<sub>2</sub> increased from 7.6 to 12.0%. This time period was marked by a dramatic increase in fumarolic activity at Turrialba, leading to extensive vegetation damage, and continuing high levels of seismicity. The He-CO<sub>2</sub> record over this period is consistent with volatile release from previously un-tapped or new magmatic intrusions or magmas recently intruded to high levels in the crust.

#### **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Our monitoring program at Poás and Turrialba has revealed new insights into the behavior of He and CO<sub>2</sub> at active volcanoes. Both the isotopic characteristics of these two volatiles and their relative abundance characteristics are seen to vary over short time-scales of months-to-years. Such changes are ascribed to variations in the degree of crust-mantle interaction of magmatic bodies-ultimately derived from the mantle wedge and produced in response to plate convergence. Poás and Turrialba remain active and surrounding areas continue to be prone to eruptive and seismic disruption. Helium and CO<sub>2</sub> collected in fumarolic emissions provide clues to sub-surface processes involving magma intrusion, movement, degassing and crustal interaction. Their use as geochemical tracers, as in the present context, can only further understanding of natural hazards in Central America.

Acknowledgments—We acknowledge NSF for funding our initial research efforts in Costa Rica in 2001 (EAR-0003628; EAR-0003668; EAR-0079402). We are also grateful to NSF for both continued funding of sampling efforts in 2006-7 (EAR-620160 and EAR-0711533) and travel funding for sea-going operations in Costa Rica in 2005 (OCE-0242034). The MAR-

GINS program (NSF) and The Commission on the Chemistry of Volcanic Gases (IAVCEI) hosted workshops in San Jose/ Heredia in 2001, 2003 and 2007—all 3 workshops gave us the opportunity to continue our monitoring efforts. Other visits to Poás and Turrialba were supported by laboratory funds from SIO and UNM. Martin Wahlen and Bruce Deck (SIO) are thanked for help with carbon isotope analyses. Antonio Paonita and Yuri Taran provided helpful and constructive reviews.

### REFERENCES

- Alvarado, G. (1993) *Costa Rica: Land of Volcanoes*. Gallo Pinto Press, 181 pp.
- Andrews, J. N. (1985) The isotopic composition of radiogenic helium and its use to study groundwater movement in confined aquifers. *Chem. Geol.* 49, 339–351.
- Bundschuh, J. and Alvarado, G. E. (2007) *Central America: Geology, Resources and Hazards.* Taylor and Francis, 1311 pp.
- Carr, M. J. (1984) Symmetrical and segmented variation of physical and geochemical characteristics of the Central American volcanic front. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* **20**, 231–252.
- Carr, M. J., Feigenson, M. D., Patino, L. C. and Walker, J. A. (2003) Volcanism and geochemistry in Central America: Progress and problems. *Inside the Subduction Factory* (Eiler, J., ed.), 153–174, American Geophysical Union.
- De Leeuw, G. A., Hilton, D. R., Fischer, T. P. and Walker, J. A. (2007) The He– $CO_2$  isotope and relative abundance characteristics of geothermal fluids in El Salvador and Honduras: new constraints on volatile mass balance of the Central American Volcanic Arc. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **258**, 132–146.
- Graham, D. W. (2002) Noble gas isotope geochemistry of midocean ridge and ocean island basalts: characterization of mantle source reservoirs. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* 47 (Porcelli, D., Ballentine, C. J. and Wieler, R., eds.), 247–318, Geochemical Society.
- Hilton, D. R. (1996) The helium and carbon isotope systematics of a continental geothermal system: results from monitoring studies at Long Valley caldera (California, USA). *Chem. Geol.* **127**, 269–295.
- Hilton, D. R., Fischer, T. P. and Marty, B. (2002) Noble gases and volatile recycling at subduction zones. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* 47 (Porcelli, D., Ballentine, C. J. and Wieler, R., eds.), 319–370, Geochemical Society.
- Martínez, M., Fernández, E., Valdés, J., Barboza, V., Van der Laat, R., Duarte, E., Malavassi, E., Sandoval, L., Barquero, J. and Marino, T. (2000) Chemical evolution and volcanic activity of the active crater lake of Poás volcano, Costa Rica

1993–1997. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 97, 127–141.

- Marty, B. and Jambon, A. (1987) C/<sup>3</sup>He in volatile fluxes from the solid Earth: Implication for carbon geodynamics. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **83**, 16–26.
- Marty, B., Jambon, A. and Sano, Y. (1989) Helium isotopes and  $CO_2$  in volcanic gases of Japan. *Chem. Geol.* **76**, 25– 40.
- Oppenheimer, C., Pyle, D. M. and Barclay, J. (eds.) (2003) Volcanic Degassing. Geological Society, London, 420 pp.
- Ozima, M. and Podosek, F. A. (2002) *Noble Gas Geochemistry*. Cambridge University Press, 286 pp.
- Prosser, J. T. and Carr, M. J. (1987) Poás volcano, Costa Rica: geology of the summit region and spatial and temporal variations among the most recent lavas. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 33, 131–146.
- Ray, M. C., Hilton, D. R., Muñoz, J., Fischer, T. P. and Shaw, A. M. (2009) The effects of volatile recycling, degassing and crustal contamination on the helium and carbon geochemistry of hydrothermal fluids from the Southern Volcanic Zone of Chile. *Chem. Geol.* 266, 38–49.
- Rowe, G. L., Brantley, S. L., Fernandez, M., Fernandez, J. F., Barquero, J. and Borgia, A. (1992) Fluid-volcano interaction in an active stratovolcano: The crater lake system of Poás Volcano, Costa Rica. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 49, 23–51.
- Sano, Y. and Marty, B. (1995) Origin of carbon in fumarolic gas from island arcs. *Chem. Geol.* **119**, 265–274.
- Sano, Y. and Williams, S. N. (1996) Fluxes of mantle and subducted carbon along convergent plate boundaries. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 23, 2749–2752.
- Shaw, A., Hilton, D. R., Fischer, T. P., Walker, J. A. and Alvarado, G. (2003), Contrasting volatile systematics of Nicaragua and Costa Rica: insights into C-cycling through subduction zones. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 214, 499–513.
- Vaselli, O., Tassi, F., Minissale, A., Montegrossi, G., Duarte, G., Fernandez, E. and Bergamaschi, F. (2003) Fumarole migration and fluid geochemistry at Poás volcano (Costa Rica) from 1998 to 2001. *Volcanic Degassing* (Oppenheimer, C., Pyle, D. M. and Barclay, J., eds.), 247– 262, Geological Society, London.
- Weiss, R. F. (1971) Solubility of helium and neon in water and seawater. J. Chem. Eng. Data. 16, 235–241.
- Wilhelm, E., Battino, R. and Wilcock, R. J. (1977) Lowpressure solubility of gases in liquid water. *Chem. Rev.* 77, 219–262.
- Zimmer, M. M., Fischer, T. P., Hilton, D. R., Alvarado, G., Sharp, Z. D. and Walker, J. A. (2004) Nitrogen systematics and gas fluxes of subduction zones: Insights from Costa Rica arc volatiles. *Geochem., Geophys., Geosyst.* 5, Q05J11, doi:10.1029/2003GC000651.