Beyond the Pale

Modern British literature has consistently been distinguished by its movement "beyond the pale." The Pale was originally the fenced-in territory established around Dublin by the invading English in the medieval period, a border between English civilization and Celtic sentience. In later usage, the phrase "beyond the pale" came to have a purely metaphorical meaning to stand outside the conventional boundaries of law, behavior, or social class. To stubborn members of the British elite, a poor flower-seller like Eliza Doolittle in Shaw's Pygmalion would be beyond the pale in a social sense at its most serious, the phrase can designate actions violating universal standards of human decency, such as the colonizer appalling treatment of Africans that Conrad chillingly describes in Heart of Darkness. Throughout the twentieth century, writers active in the British Isles increasingly probed novel and locations beyond the pale of proper middle-class Englishness. Many of the century's greatest writers, such as the Pole Joseph Conrad, the Irishmen William Butler Yeats and James Joyce, and the Americans Ezra Pound and T.S. Eliot, themselves came from beyond the boundaries of England; others came from social strata within England less often visible before: provincial working-class writers like D.H. Lawrence and the Scots writer James Kelman; women like Rebecca West and Katherine Mansfield; men and women whose sexuality transcended conventional boundaries, such as E.M. Forster, Virginia Woolf, and W.H. Auden.

As the century went on, more and more writers active in the British Isles and its former colonies have been "beyond the pale" in a very literal sense, as people of color: Salman Rushdie, who grew up in India and Pakistan before establishing himself as a writer in England; Y. M. Naipaul, a Caribbean Indian ancestry; Ngugi wa-Thiongo, educated by British missionaries in Kenya; Derek Walcott, whose poetry moves between the Caribbean, England, North America, and Africa. With the ending of England's role as a colonial power, a new and dynamic relation of former colonies and colonizers has arisen, a pervasive interrelation of people and of roles, aptly symbolized by deposing role changes in Caryll Churchill's comic masterpiece Cloud 9. British literature has become a world literature, overflowing what went once its borders.

Earlier centuries had periodically seen the emption of writers, and of issues, from beyond the pale of accepted norms and educational social groups, but these writers had often faced severe struggles against dominant values of upper-middle-class propriety and the strictures of established literary conventions. The fate of Oscar Wilde was a case in point; his arrest on sodomy charges in 1895 at the peak of his career as a playwright, his sentencing to hard labor and then his exile and death in France in 1900, all pointed up the ways in which late Victorian society could retaliate against the challenges posed by a brilliant, flamboyant, homosexual Irishman. A new generation of writers at the turn of the century set themselves to change this situation, seeking variously to infiltrate the Pale of established British literary expression, to expand its dimensions, or to abolish it altogether. For many of these young writers, a prime strategy for achieving these goals was to attack their predecessors, and they set about this task with gusto.
Writing in 1928, Virginia Woolf described the cultural atmosphere of the Victorian era in the following way:

"Damp now began to make its way into every house; ... The damp struck within. Men felt the chill in their hearts; the damp in their minds. ... The life of the average woman was a succession of childbirths. She married at nineteen and had fifteen or eighteen children by the time she was thirty; for twins abounded. Thus the British Empire came into existence; and thus—for there is no stopping damp; it goes into nooks as it goes into the woodwork—sentences swelled, adjectives multiplied, fireworks became epic, and little radishes that had been essays a column long were now encyclopedias in ten or twenty volumes.

Woolf of course exaggerates here for her own effect; yet this passage does capture nicely the stereotypical view of the Victorians that flourished during the modern period—and helped make it possible. Ezra Pound, for instance, called the later nineteenth century "a rather blurry, messy period, a rather sentimentalistic, mannered sort of period." Polemical descriptions like these served the rhetorical purposes of writers at the start of the new century as they attempted to stake out their terrain and to forge a literature and a perspective of their own.

The opening decade of the new century was a time of transition. Woolf later suggested, her tongue perhaps in her cheek, that as a result of a Post-Impressionist exhibition of paintings in London, "on or about December, 1910, human character changed." Almost no one, however, seems to have maintained that anything changed very decisively on the morning of 1 January 1900. Queen Victoria, at that time on the throne for nearly sixty-five years and in mourning for her husband Prince Albert for almost forty, lived and ruled on into the following year; the subsequent reign of Edward VII (1901-1910) differed only slightly from that of his mother in many respects, the entire nation mourning the loss of their queen as she had the loss of her husband. But Woolf, in a 1924 essay, saw a gulf between herself and the Edwardian Edwardian novelists, she writes, "established convictions which do their business; and that business is not our business." Edward VII himself, in fact, was not a Victorian. He had a reputation as a playboy and implicitly rebelled against the conventions that his mother had upheld. During his reign, the mannered decadence of the 1890s modulated into a revived social realism seen in ambitious novels like Joseph Conrad's Nostromo and H. G. Wells's darkly comic masterpiece Tono-Bungay, while poets like Yeats and Hardy produced major poems probing the relations of self, society, and history. Writers in general considered themselves to be voices of a nation taking stock of its place in the world in a new century. They saw their times as marked by accelerating social and technological change and by the burden of a worldwide empire, which achieved its greatest extent in the years between 1900 and 1914—encompassing as much as a quarter of the world's population and dominating world trade through a global network of ports.

This period of consolidation and reflection abruptly came to an end four years into the reign of George V, with the start of World War I in August 1914; the relatively tranquil prewar years of George's early reign were quickly memorialized, and nostalgically, in the wake of the war's disruption to the traditionally English way of life. This first Georgian period was abruptly elevated into a cultural "golden age" by the British public and British publishers, a process that was typified by the pastoral poetry gathered by Edward Marsh in his hugely popular series of five anthologies
called Georgian Poetry, the first of which was published in 1912. As a consequence of Marsh's skill as a tastemaker, this brief period before the war is frequently known as the Georgian period in British literature, though George V himself remained on the throne until 1936, when the distant rumble of World War II was to be heard by those with ears to hear.

The greater century from 1914 until the start of the war in 1939 is now conventionally known as the modernist period. To be modern was, in one respect, to rebel openly and loudly against one's philosophical and artistic inheritance, in much the same way that the Romantic writers of the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries had sought to distinguish themselves from their Augustan forebears. This gesture—the way in which a new artistic movement seeks to define itself through caricature of the movement(s) that gave it birth—is a recurring feature in literary history, but it took on a particular urgency and energy among the modernists, who advanced the view summarized in Pound's bold slogan, "Make It New."

A great modernist monument to this anti-Victorian sentiment was Lytton Strachey's elegantly ironic Eminent Victorians (1918), whose parsing biographical portraits caricatured a series of Victorian pieties. Much of Bernard Shaw's writing (including Pygmalion) is animated by anti-Victorian animus as well, taking the theatrical wit of Oscar Wilde and turning it against specific targets. Exaggerated though it was, the ritualized slaughter performed by modernists like Woolf, Strachey, and Shaw seems to have achieved a clearing of the literary and artistic terrain that formed a necessary prelude to further innovation. The modernists' "Victorians" were oversimplified, sometimes straw figures, but the battle that was waged against them was real indeed, and the principles of modernism were forged and refined in the process.

**The Foundations of Modern Skepticism**

The best Victorian writers had not been afraid to ask difficult, unsettling questions. Tennyson's restless skepticism in _In Memoriam_, for example, exemplifies the spirit of Victorian inquiry. But the conclusion of that poem foresees an ongoing process toward future perfection, guided by "One God, one law, one element, / And one far-off diviner event. / To which the whole creation moves." Tennyson himself doubted that such unities could be embodied in the prose of twentieth-century writers found increasing fragmentation around them and became more and more suspicious of narratives of historical progress and of social unity.

Modern explorations are undertaken with absolutely no confidence as to the results that will be discovered, still less that a public exists who could understand the writers' discoveries. For that reason Thomas Hardy's ruthless skepticism now seems quintessentially modern. This new attitude is quite clear in Ford Madox Ford's _The Good Soldier_ (1915), the first installment of which was published in the inaugural issue of Wyndham Lewis's violently modern magazine Blast. John W. Dowell, the narrator/protagonist of Ford's novel, wanders for 250 pages about his sense that the "given" of civil society seem to have been knocked out from under him, and that he has been left to create values and meaning on his own. Struggling to extract the moral of the story he tells us—the story of his wife's long-standing affair with his best friend, and their consequent deaths—Dowell can only conclude:

"..."
I don't know. And there is nothing to guide us. And if everything is so nebulous about a matter so elementary as the morals of sex, what is there to guide us in the more subtle morality of all other personal contacts, associations, or activities? Are we meant to act on impulse alone? It is all a dream.

In Conrad's Heart of Darkness, the narrator Charlie Marlow suffers from a similar moral vertigo. When, at the novella's close, he resolves to perform an action he finds deeply repugnant—to tell a lie—he worries that his willful violation of the moral order will provoke an immediate act of divine retribution. None, however, is forthcoming. "It seemed to me that the house would collapse before I could escape, that the heavens would fall upon my head. But nothing happened. The heavens do not fall for such a trifle." In works like these, a voyage is undertaken into a vast, unknown, dark expanse. Those few who come out alive have seen too much ever to be the same.

Similar perceptions underlie modern humor. The Theater of the Absurd that flourished in the 1950s and 1960s, in the work of playwrights like Samuel Beckett and Harold Pinter, had roots in Wilde and Shaw and their comic explorations of the arbitrary conventionality of long-held social values. Throughout the twentieth century, written devoted themselves to various varieties of irony—from the severe ironies of Conrad and Yeats to the more tender ironies of Woolf and Auden, to the fanciful anarcho-syndicalism of Tom Stoppard and Joe Orton. Joyce described his mixture of high and low comedy as "paradromic"; asked the meaning of his deuce book Finnegans Wake, he replied, "It's meant to make you laugh."

Whether seen in comic or tragic light, the sense of a loss of moorings was pervasive. Following the rapid social and intellectual changes of the early twentieth century, the early twentieth century suffered its share of further conclusions tending to heighten modern uncertainty. It was even becoming harder to understand the grounds of uncertainty itself. The critiques of Marx and Darwin had derived new evidence from bodies of evidence available as principle to all literate citizens: the most important paradigm shifts of the early twentieth century, on the other hand, the ideas that informed the fields of philosophy, political economy, and physics, and often rested on evidence invisible to the average citizen. The German philosopher Friedrich Nietzsche (1844-1900) was, as his dates suggest, wholly a nineteenth-century man, yet his ideas had their most profound impact in the twentieth century. Nietzsche described his lifelong philosophical project as "the revaluation of all values"; in his 1882 treatise The Joyful Science, he went so far as to assert that "God is dead." This deliberately provocative statement came as the culmination of a long and complicated argument, and did not mean simply that Nietzsche was an atheist (though he was). Nietzsche was suggesting that traditional religion had been discredited by advances in the natural and physical sciences, and as transcendent standards of truth disappeared, so logically must all moral and ethical systems depending on some faith for their force. It was from this base that Nietzsche created the idea of the Ubermensch, the "superman" who because of his intellectual and moral superiority to others must not be bound by social conventions. Conrad's tragic figure Kurtz and Shaw's comic Professor Henry Higgins represent two very different takes on this idea, building on Nietzsche's interest in showing how all values are "constructed" rather than given—at some level arbitrary, all truths being merely opinions, all social identities merely roles.

The new psychology, whose earliest stirrings are to be found in the last decades of the nineteenth century, came of age at the turn of the twentieth. Sigmund Freud's

Nietzsche - Kwan - Superman
The Interpretation of Dreams (1900) and Psychopathology of Everyday Life (1901) together illustrate in an especially vivid way his evolving theories about the influence of the unconscious mind, and most especially childhood experience, on our daily lives. The whole of Freud's work was translated into English by James Strachey (Lytton's brother), and was published in conjunction with the Hogarth Press, owned and run by Leonard and Virginia Woolf; for this reason, among others, the Freudian revolution was felt early, and strongly, among the London intelligentsia. The new psychology was frequently distorted and misunderstood by the larger public; among the artistic community Freud provoked a wide range of response, from the enthusiastic adoption of his theories by some to vigorous rejection by writers like Joyce. This response is complicated, in part, by the fact that Freud himself took an interest in artistic and creative processes, and presumed to explain to writers the psychopathology at the heart of their own genius; as the Freudian literary critic Lionel Trilling succinctly put it, "the poet is a poet by reason of his weakness as well as by reason of his power." As Freud's supporter W. H. Auden wrote in his elegy, In Memory of Sigismund Freud (1939):

If often he was wrong and at times absurd,
To us he is no more a person
Now but a whole climate of opinion
Under whom we conduct our different lives.

A further intellectual shock wave was the revolution in physics that was spearheaded by Albert Einstein's Special Theory of Relativity (1905). In both this theory (dealing with motion) and later in the general theory of relativity (dealing with gravity), Einstein shook the traditional understanding of the universe and our relationship to it; the certainty and predictability of the Newtonian description of the universe had been undone. The "uncertainty" of Einstein's universe was seemingly reinforced by developments in quantum physics, such as the work of Niels Bohr (who won the Nobel Prize in physics in 1922) and Werner Heisenberg, author of the famous "Uncertainty Principle" and the principle of complementarity, which together assert that the movement of subatomic particles can only be predicted by probability and not measured, as the very act of measurement alters their behavior. Biologically enough, the true import of these ideas is not, as the triumvirs have it, that everything is relative—but for us, fallible and limited observers, who have no secure standpoint from which "to see the thing as in itself truly is," to quote Matthew Arnold's 1867 formulation of the critic's goal. The only way to experience the truth, it would seem, would be to find out what T. S. Eliot called "the still point of the turning world," an "unarrowed mover" outside the flux and change of our day-to-day world. Einstein himself never really rejected the idea of transcendent truth; he once said to an interviewer that to him, the idea of our universe without a Creator was inconceivable. In this case, however, the popular fiction has been more influential than the facts, and the work of Einstein, Heisenberg, and Bohr has been used to support the widespread sense that, as Irish playwright Sean O'Casey's character Captain Jack Boyle puts it in Juno and the Paycock (1924), "the whole world's in a state of chaos!"

The philosophical and moral upheavals of these years were given added force by the profound shock of World War I—"The Great War," as it came to be known. The
British entered the conflict against Germany partly in order to preserve their influence in Europe and their dominance around the globe, and partly out of altruistic notions of gallantry and fair play—to aid their weaker allies against German aggression. The conflict was supposed to take a few weeks; it lasted four grueling years and cost hundreds of thousands of British lives. Nations of British invincibility, of honor, even of the viability of civilization all weakened over the years of vicious trench warfare in France. The progress of technology, which had raised Victorian standards of living, now led to a mechanization of warfare that produced horrific numbers of deaths—as many as a million soldiers died in the single protracted battle of the Somme in 1916. As poets discovered as they served in the trenches, and as the people back home came to learn, innocence had arrived with a vengeance.

Revolution of Style

The end of the war was accompanied by a sense of physical and moral exhaustion. To be modern has been defined as a persistent sense of having arrived on the stage of history after history has finished. The critic Percy Heath, for instance, describes modernism as "a structure of compensation, a way of adjusting to the paradox of belatedness." Behind Ezra Pound's struggle to reinvent poetry lay a nagging suspicion that there was nothing new left to make or say, and Pound claimed that the very words "Modernism" or "Make It New" was taken off the hands of an ancient Chinese emperor. As T. S. Eliot explains in his essay "Tradition and the Individual Talent," "The perpetual task of the poet is to make all things new. Not necessarily to make new things. ... It is always partly a revolution, or a reaction, from the work of the previous generation."

That revolution was carried out both on the level of subject matter and on the level of style. Some important early twentieth-century fiction writers, like John Galsworthy and H. G. Wells, felt no real need to depart from inherited narrative models, and moved more or less to a realist or naturalist line, varying on from the French naturalists like Émile Zola and the Norwegian dramatist Henrik Ibsen. But for those writers we now call modernist, these conventions came to seem too limiting and lifeless. The modern writer was faced with an enormous, Nietzschean task: to create new and appropriate values for modern culture, and a style appropriate to those values. As a consequence, there is often a probing, nervous quality in the modernist explorations of ultimate questions. This quality can be seen at the very start of the century in Conrad's Heart of Darkness, a novel about psychological depth and social disintegration that simultaneously implicates its readers in the moral ambiguities of its events. These ambiguities, moreover, are reflected in the very presentation of the narrative itself. In the modern novel, we are no longer allowed to watch from a safe distance while our protagonists mature and change through their trials; instead, we are made to undergo those trials ourselves, through the machinations of the narrative. This technique had already been employed in the nineteenth century, for instance in the dramatic monologues of Robert Browning; but this narrative of process becomes pervasive in modernist texts, where the uncertainties of the form, the wavering and unpredictability of the narrative, mirror similar qualities in the mind of the narrators or protagonists. Often the reader is drawn into the story's crisis by a heightened technique of plunging the narrative suddenly in mad and
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Soldiers of the 3rd Cameronians division prepare to go "over the top" during a daylight raid near Zonnebeke, Flanders, 24 March 1917. During such an offensive, scouts would make their way quietly across the contested territory between the opposing armies' trenches—the men known in No Man's Land—and attempt to take control of an enemy trench, in order to conduct bombing raids and pass whatever intelligence might be found in the abandoned shelters. The pace of this warfare—where a week's progress might be attained in yards rather than miles—was, according to troops on both sides, the most grueling feature of trench warfare. The humane conditions described above were usually followed by the stress of organizing and constant shifting ("shell-shock").

"There was no hope for him this time; it was the third stroke" (Joyce, The Shadow); "A sudden blow" (Yeats, Lea and the Swans); "Yes, of course, if it's fine tomorrow," said Mrs. Ramsay (Woollf, To the Lighthouse). The customary preliminary information—the sort of dossier about the characters that we expect—isn't given; the reader is put in the position of a detective who has to sort all this information out unaided. This narrative decontextualization reaching its culmination in the theater of Beckett and Fionger, who typically withheld any and all background information about characters. "Confusion," Samuel Beckett told an interviewer in 1956, "is all around us and our only chance now is to let it in. The only chance of renovation is to open our eyes and see the mess. It is not a mess you can make sense of."

Early in the century, a number of poets began to dispense with the frames of reference provided by conventional poetic forms. The first important Anglo-American poetic movement of the century was Imagism, a reaction against the expansive wordiness of Victorian poetry like Thomas's Mims or Browning's The Ring and the Book. Imagists like Pound and H. 1. wrote short, spare poems embodying
a revelatory image or moment. The most memorable Imagist poems have the concentrated impact of a haiku. But the form leaves little scope for narrative development; that path seems to have been opened by a rediscovery of the seventeenth-century metaphysical poets, notably by T. S. Eliot. The techniques of metaphysical poets like John Donne suggested to Eliot a means for expanding the repertory of Imagist poetry, which he used to good effect in poems like The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock, which opens with a thoroughly modernized metaphysical conceit:

Let us go then, you and I,
When the evening is spread out against the sky
Like a patient etherized upon a table.

One strategy for making literature new was to make it difficult; this notion was, in part, a response to the proliferation of popular entertainments during the early twentieth century, a development that both disturbed and intrigued many artists, writers, and cultural critics. In such a context, "difficult" literature (such as the densely allusive poetry of Eliot, or the multi-layered prose of Joyce) was seen to be of greater artistic merit than the products of an easily consumable mass culture—even as both Eliot and Joyce drew on popular culture and diction as they reshaped the norms of their literary art. Thus, while one of the primary targets of modernist innovation was Victorian literary manners, another was the complacent taste and sensibility of a large, and growing, middle class. Artists had been declaring the need to shock the bourgeoisie since time immemorial; Matthew Arnold worried publicly, and at length, about the dilution of a natural aristocracy of taste by the pseudoculture of newly educated British philistines, at the same time that he campaigned for greatly expanded public education. The Education Act of 1870 resulted in the explosive growth of elementary education, which meant that the reading class grew exponentially. Within the art world, the most obvious result of this anxiety was the "art for art's sake" movement associated with Walter Pater that began in the 1870s. Art was becoming its own material—as, for instance, in French artist Marcel Duchamp's mustache on the Mona Lisa.

In some ways modernist art and literature turned inward, becoming cannibalistic and self-referential. This is demonstrated well in Joyce's novel A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man, whose protagonist is autobiographical in genesis yet critical in intent; the way Joyce accomplishes this is by moving Stephen Dedalus, his artist-protagonist, through various prose poses—writing now like Gustave Flaubert, now like Cardinal Newman, now like Pater. Stephen can only mimic—not create—a style: such is the situation of the modern writer, Joyce suggests, and his novel Ulysses dramatizes this by adopting a kaleidoscopic array of styles in its eighteen chapters. It thus becomes increasingly difficult to think of "style" as the achievement of an individual, and more and more it becomes the culmination of a cultural, national, or ethnic project or history. As the French critic Roland Barthes has written, the text in the modern period becomes a "multi-dimensional space in which a variety of writings, none of them original, blend and clash," a tissue of quotations drawn from innumerable centres of culture—an apt and dramatic description of modernist texts like Eliot's The Waste Land, Joyce's Ulysses, and Pound's Cantos. To be textual is, during this period, to be intertextual and interdisciplinary as well.

The stylistic experimentation of modernist writers was fueled by the era's technological advances. From the mid-nineteenth century on, Britain had prized itself on...
Color Plate 22. The Glories of Commercial Art. Vera Willoughby, General Joy, 1928. In an era when color printing first became practical for large-scale commercial purposes, British artists rose to the occasion, creating memorable images that opened both imaginations and pocketbooks. Willoughby's work reflects the exuberance of London in the 1920s, just as often though the posters for London public transportation offered public art, political messages, and cultural rallying points. In Virginia Woolf's Mrs Dalloway, Clarissa Dalloway's daughter Elizabeth rides just such an open-air bus through a sparkling London day in June.

Color Plate 23. Urban Energies. Charles Griner, Piccadilly Circus, 1912. Like Eliza Doolittle in Bernard Shaw's Pygmalion, the quintessential city dweller in this scene is surrounded by evidence of the energies and transformations of the modern city: automobiles, advertising, and even an unescorted woman boldly strolling down the sidewalk. The word "new" on the bus poster sums up the social scene.
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Color Plates 24 and 25 A Nation Goes to War. Anna Atlee, Ship for Manchuria, 16-inch Shells (above), 1915, and Sir William Orpen, Ready to Start (left), 1917. Agent's painting might serve as an illustration for Siegfried. Samson's line in Glory of Women, "I ask us shields," though with none of Samson's bitterness. That fascinating oil painting of an all-female staffed weapons factory transmutes the arduous and exacting labor of designing and manufacturing monstrous artillery shells for battleship guns into a symphony of gold "to avert ill-will," as John Denman's reviews put it. Orpen's self-portrait depicts the artist in a rather ascetic pose as he goes into a mirror at a French café. The artist's ease, Orpen was a leading London-based society painter before the war, considered superior to John Singer Sargent. Through royal connections and friendship with the literary Sasseon family, he was sent to battlefronts and wartime events to record them for the public. He made numerous striking and controversial paintings that depicted his gradual judgment that the British generals had betrayed their rank and file.
Color Plate 29  Beyond Swinging London. Gilbert and George, Death Hope Life Fear, 1984. As performance artists and photographers, the gay couple Gilbert and George have offered provocative art for decades. Since the 1980s, they have produced huge photographic canvases incorporating religious, political, and homoerotic imagery. Cultural agitators-provocateurs, Gilbert and George try to create a witty yet highly charged confrontation between spectacle and their own cultural beliefs, values, and secrets.

Color Plate 30  After Such Knowledge. What Forgiveness? Francis Bacon, Study after Velázquez, 1951. Irish painter Francis Bacon (1909-1992) lived for most of his life in London, where his squatted studio was famous as a hub of creativity. Bacon's evocations in ceramics are un-motivated art of modernity, perhaps his most famous works despite a series of unsuccessful shows. Based on classic portraits by Velázquez, Bacon's enigmatic portraits have a face haunting with knowledge of the holocaust of the twentieth century. He seems to sense it in from behind an arrangement of hair symbolizing totalitarianism.

Color Plate 31  Make Today's Hero Wearing Legion, 6 and Icons of Some Woman, a victim lover held like a pinball is the essence comic book film. Hamilton's up of ash, chest
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in its industrial strength and leadership with the electrification of Britain at the turn of the century, however, the Industrial Revolution was gradually overtaken by technological revolution. If the sinking of the Titanic on her maiden voyage in 1912 served as a symbol of the vulnerability of progress—a sort of watery funeral for traditional British industry—the first transatlantic flight in 1919 pointed toward the future. Advances in photographic technology made documentary photographs a part of daily life and brought a heightened visual dimension to political campaigns and to advertising. The advent of quick and inexpensive newspaper photographs put vivid images of the carnage of World War I on Britain's breakfast tables. The texture and pace of daily life changed in the early years of the century to such a degree that average men and women were comfortable referring to themselves by that hopelessly awkward designation, "modern" (from the Latin modo, "just now"). And closely, the London inhabited by the denizens of Eliot's Waste Land is a profoundly different place from the London of Dickens. Eliot portrays a woman who works in an office, composes letters on a typewriter, talks to clients on the telephone, plays records on the phonograph at her flat after having casual sex with a co-worker, and eats her evening meal from tins.

The advent of technology had far-reaching effects on the writing of the period. Beckett, famously, imagined a tape recorder before he had even seen one in order to make possible the memory play of his Krapp's Last Tape (1959); more generally, the technology of the transistor radio, and government sponsorship of radio and television by the British Broadcasting Corporation, made possible wholly new literary genres. Beckett and Dylan Thomas were among the first to take advantage of the new media, writing plays for radio and then for television. A generation earlier, Joyce made use of early art films strategies in his "Credo" episode of Ulysses. In the most advanced writing of the modernist period we find an increasing sense that the technologies of print affect the text itself. Pound's Cantos were composed, not just transcribed, in a typesetter, and cannot be imagined in their current form composed with pen and ink; Joyce plays with the typographic conventions of newspaper headlines in the "Aeolus" chapter of Ulysses to create an ironic running commentary on the action. A crucial scene in Joyce's Finnegans Wake features a television broadcast (which was not available commercially when the novel was published), blending with a nuclear explosion (also several years before the fact). The scene culminates in "the abjuration of the erum"—both a destruction of atom/adam/erem and its recovery from "(ab)normality."...

MODERNISM AND THE MODERN CITY

Paralleling the new social and artistic opportunities of the twentieth century was a kind of anxiety or alienation created by the rush toward industrialization. Vanishing numbers of human figures remained undifferentiated and the same-manufactured hats and clothing worn by British industrial workers served only to heighten the monotony of their daily routines. Newspapers eagerly published photographs of thousands of sooty-faced miners. The members of the workforce, which Marx had called "alienated labor," were men to be estranged not just from their work but from one another as well, as they themselves became mass products. This situation is dramatized especially vividly in the silent films of the period—from the dystopian vision of Fritz
Archibald Hayark, A Left-Hand: The
Scottish artist (1866–1950) was
part of the vibrant art movement in
Glasgow which he joined after studying
in Paris, where he had met the painters
Van Gogh and Gauguin. As a wartime artist,
he became especially interested in recording
women's efforts. A Lot Girl is a
multidimensional portrait of those efforts, as
depicts a young woman whose courage to
bear the strain in the war comes about in an
elegant manner carrying civilian and
military overcoats down to the underground
refuges meant to protect families and
soldiers alike from the constant aerial
bombardments of German airplanes.

Lang's Metropolis (1926) to the more comic vision presented by the British-American
Charles Chaplin in Modern Times (1936). The sense of major cities being overrun by
crowds of nameless human locusts recurs in the poetry of the period.

A crowd flowed over London Bridge, so many,
I had not thought death had undone so many.
Sighs, short and infrequent, were exhaled,
And each man fixed his eyes before his feet.

(Eliot, The Waste Land)

I have measured out my life with lead
Sitting at the desk among grey
Eighteenth-century houses.

(Years, Easter 1916)

The Victorian concern over huge numbers of urban poor was recorded by a facet of
large numbers of reserve urban lower-middle-class workers and their families.

The city also appeared in far more positive guises, as the modernists were
urban sophisticates above all else. Joyce famously remarked that if Dublin were one
day destroyed, it could be recreated whole from the pages of his Ulysses. Virginia
Woolf's great novel Mrs Dalloway is among other things a glowing tribute to
London as the center of incongruous juxtapositions and unexpected connections, the quintessence of life itself: "Heaven only knows why one loves it so," Clarissa Dalloway thinks.

how one sees it as, making it up, building it round one, tumbling it, crowding it every moment... In people's eyes, in the swing, stamp, and trudge; in the yellow and the upshot; the carriages, motor cars, omnibuses, vans, sandwich men shuffling and swinging, brass bands; barrel organs; in the triumph and the jingle and the strange high singing of some aeroplane overhead was what she loved, life; London; this moment of June.

London had a magnetic attraction for many American writers as well, as a transatlantic literary culture blossomed. Henry James based novels like The American and Portrait of a Lady on the adventures of Americans living abroad; James himself was an American who lived most of the last thirty-five years of his life in London, and was naturalized as a British citizen three months before his death. T. S. Eliot moved to London in 1915 and lived there until his death in 1965, becoming a British subject, a communicant of the Church of England, and being knighted along the way. The great comic writer P. G. Wodehouse commuted back and forth across the Atlantic in the 1920s and 1930s as his plays and musical comedies were staged in New York and London. In many ways, New York and London had never been so close. This artistic diaspora resulted in a richer, more complex and urbane literature.

**Plotting the Self**

The Freudian revolution grew from and reinforced an intense interest in the workings of the individual psyche, and moderns like Woolf and Joyce devoted themselves to capturing the mind's modulations. Both Woolf and Joyce employed versions of what came to be known as the "stream-of-consciousness" technique, in which fragmentary thoughts gradually build up a portrayal of characters' perceptions and of their unstated concerns. Consider this passage from the "interior monologue" of Joyce's protagonist Leopold Bloom, as he prepares a sauce of milk for his cat:

They call them stupid. They understand what we say better than we understand them. She understands all she wants to. Vindictive too. Wonder what I look like to her. Height of a tower! No, she can jump me... Cruel. Her nature. Curious mice never squeal. Seems to like it.

On the surface, Bloom's staccato thoughts reflect on the cat; at the same time, he identifies the cat with his unsatisfactory wife Molly, and—without admitting it to himself—he reflects on the cat's foreign psyche as a way of coming to terms with Molly's needs and desires. The development of stream-of-consciousness narrative grows out of a sense that the self is not "natural" or "given" but a construction—specifically a social construction—and that, consequently, traditional methods for depicting character no longer suffice. We are all the products of our own past and we are also, powerfully, products of larger social forces that shape the stories we tell about ourselves, and which others tell about us.

In the Victorian novel, plot crises were typically resolved in some definitive way, such as by a marriage or a change in the financial status of the protagonist. In the modern novel, lasting resolutions growing out of a common vision are few and far between. Walter Pater had counseled his readers, at the conclusion of The Renaissance,
that "to turn always with a hand, gleamlike flame, so maintain this century" was "success in life"; in the modern period, everyone wrote that essay, but no one is sure what it looks like amid the restless individuals of modern life. "We live as we dream, alone," Céline's narrator Marlow mutters despairingly. "Only connect," the epigraph to E. M. Forster's Howards End (1910) implores. On the eve of the London Blitz, however, the characters in Woolf's Between the Acts (still the most powerful British novel of World War II) are united only as they stage the refrain, "Disconnected are we." The texts of the modern period, bookended as they are by two world wars, represent a real, agonized meditation on how modern individuals can become united as community again. Woolf herself was skeptical of the possibility and her last novel remains unfinished—or finished only by her husband Leonard—because she took her own life before she could complete it. In the novels of Woolf and Forster, and in the poetry of Yeats and Auden, community is the glimpsed prospect, the promised land: aect as a possibility but never realized, or embodied precariously in a gesture, a moment, a metaphor, and above all in art itself.

After the modernist high-water mark of the 1920s, the atmosphere darkened amid the international financial depressions of the 1930s triggered by the U.S. stock market crash of 1929. The decade saw the growth of British fascism and widespread labor agitation. The decade also witnessed the international growth of fascism and totalitarianism: writers like Shaw, Wyndham Lewis, Eliot, Yeats, Pound, and Lawrence of Arabia saw the order and stability promised by authoritarian governments as the only answer to the "mad anxiety" Yeats decries in his poem The Second Coming. In the late thirties, however, intellectual sentiment turned increasingly against the fascist movements being led in Germany by Hitler, in Italy by Mussolini and, in Spain by Franco. During Spain's brutal civil war (1936-1939), many writers supported the democratic Republicans against the ultimately victorious fascists General Franco. Meanwhile a series of weak British governments did little to oppose Hitler's interesting belligerence and extremism; the failure to stand up for democratic principles, coupled with worldwide economic depression, led many young intellectuals and artists to become Lebensborn.

Compared to the stylistic experiments of the previous two decades, British writing of the 1930s returns to what is familiar. This can be attributed in part to the disavowal-of the modernist movement that followed World War I, and the very real sense throughout the thirties that things were building up to another war, that art had become something of an irrelevancy. The German cultural critic Theodor Adorno was to write after the war, "no poetry after Auschwitz": writers of the thirties seem to have had this sense well in advance of Auschwitz. Yeats admired the character in Auguste de Villers de l'Isle Adam's drama Aenach who said, "As for living, we let the services do that for us"; the young writers of the thirties, however, were concerned not in Aenach's phrase "poetry makes nothing happen," and were committed to the idea that it must."Late modernism," as the critic Tessa Miller has described this writing, was newly engaged with popular culture and political events alike.

The Return of the Repressed

Modern British literature is characterized by the increasing presence of women's voices, working-class voices, and voices expressing varied ethnic, religious, and sexual perspectives which, whether methodically or inadvertently, had often been excluded from the British I signification process.
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"sex" was not one of the. In the 1930s, the novel "D" by H. Lawrence, a rather equivocal friend of the women's movement, called it "perhaps the greatest revolution of modern times." The Women's Property Act—passed in 1932, the year of Woolf's birth—for the first time allowed married women to own property. Decades of sometimes violent suffragist agitation led finally to full voting rights for women in 1928 and to the gradual opening up of opportunities in higher education and professions.

The quick pace of these changes naturally made many men uneasy. In their monotonous three-volume novel "Mrs. Dalloway," the philosopher and the world—'

more rocky, in their ascent, than she cock himself... It is really out of scheme, it is not in relation to the rest of things... They find, so often, that instead of having laid an egg, they have laid a vote, or an empty ink-bottle, or some other absolutely uncatchable object, which means nothing to them.

On the level of literary principles, a masculinist emphasis can be seen in Earnest Pound's insistence that modern poetry should "move against poppycock," "be harder and saner... nearer the bone... as much like granite as it can be."

Other writers, male and female, supported women's rights; almost all writers sought to rebel against Victorian sexual norms and gender roles. Joyce battled with censors beginning in 1906, and his Ulysses was put on trial in New York on obscenity charges in 1933 (and cleared of those charges in the same week that the United States repealed Prohibition). Defending his sexual and scatological scenes, Joyce put the modernist's case for frankness this way:

"The modern writer has other problems facing him, problems which are more intimate and unusual. We prefer to search in the women for what has been hidden, and minds, atmospheres and intimate relationships are the modern writers' theme... The modern theme is the subconscious forces, those hidden sides which govern everything and run humanity counter to the apparent flood of those conscious subtleties which envelop the soul, the ascending tones of sex.

In defense of his "dirty" book Lady Chatterley's Lover (1928), whose full text was banned to obscene until 1960, Lawrence wrote: "In spite of all antagonism, I put forth this novel as an honest, healthy book, necessary for us today... Yet are today, as human beings, evolved and cultured far beyond the taboos which are inherent in
our culture... The mind has an old prevailing fear of the body and the body's functions. It is the mind we have to liberate, to civilize on these points." In a rich irony, Joyce and Lawrence shared one another's writing; Joyce hinted at calling Lawrence's best-known novel "Lady Chatterley's Lover." He dismissed the novel as "a piece of propaganda in favour of which, outside of D. H. L.'s country at any rate, makes all the propaganda for itself." Lawrence, for his part, thought the last chapter of Ulysses (Molly Bloom's famous soliloquy) "the dirtiest, most obscene obscene thing ever written."

Sexuality of all stripes was on trial. The lesbo writer Radclyffe Hall was tried for obscenity in 1928 for her novel The Well of Loneliness—which most critics have never been able to read because it was suppressed. The trial was a public spectacle, and was a rallying point for writers like Woolf and E. M. Forster, who spoke publicly in favor of Hall's right to explore her subject, which was primarily the loneliness, rather than the fleshly joys, of same-sex love. Forster's own biographical writings, including his novel Maurice, were not published until after his death in 1970. Woolf was somewhat more open in her novel Orlando (1928), whose protagonist changes sex from male to female. In Joyce's Ulysses, Leopold Bloom fantasizes about becoming a "new woman's man" and dreams of being chastised by a domestique who appears first as Bella and then as Bella Cohen. It was not only sexual taboos that were challenged in the writing of the period; in practice these began to be a loosening of the strict gender and sexual roles, which had been reinforced by the homophobia resulting from Oscar Wilde's trial. Gay, lesbian, and bisexual writers like Forster, Woolf, and Stein, Natalie Barney, Djuna Barnes, H. D., Fordham Pekin, and Cole Van Vechten pushed the comfort level of the British reading public, even the "healthy" version of sexuality celebrated by D. H. Lawrence in his greatest novel Women in Love begins to suggest that bisexuality and homosexuality are shifting boundaries, not immutable categories.

The growing independence of the individual subject began to be matched by drives for independence among imperial subjects as well. In John Bull's other island," as Bernard Shaw called Ireland in his play of that title, agitation for independence grew widespread from the late nineteenth century onward, culminating in the Easter Rising of 1916 and the 1922 partitioning of Ireland, when the Irish Republic became an independent nation, while Northern Ireland remained part of Great Britain. No match for England militarily, the Irish used words as their chief weapon in the struggle for independence.

The liberation of Britain's overseas colonial holdings began in the early decades of the century and gathered momentum thereafter. The history of Great Britain in the twentieth century is, in some ways, the story of the centrifugal forces that have largely stripped Britain of its colonial possessions. Britain suffered humiliating losses in the Boer War (1899-1902), fought by the British to take possession of the Boer Republic of South Africa. If a million British troops were unable to win outright victory over eighty thousand Boers, finally the British adopted a scorched-earth policy that en- rolled massive armies and the deaths of thousands of captives in auxiliary camps. This debilitating and unhealthy conquest marked the low point of British imperialism, and public disgust led to a reaction against empire itself. Independence movements sprang up in colonies around the world, most notably in India, Britain's largest colony, "the jewel in the crown" of Queen Victoria, where Mohandas Gandhi's Congress Party struggled through nonviolent resistance to force Britain to grant its independence.
Christopher Richard Wynne Nevinson, *Print for the Wembley Exhibition, 1925*. Advertising the Wembley Empire Exhibition, this poster conveys its wide effective attempt to turn empire into spectacular entertainment, and imperial colonies into a multicultural festival for the British masses. Labor strikes and unemployment within England had made the working public aware of the huge costs of maintaining empire at their expense; the ruling class responded by trying to forestall the working class and the poor to emigrate to the colonies. The latter coercive approach appears in Mrs Dalloway, whose lady Blision, whose last name conveys the banality of this attempt to get rid of what she calls a “superfluous” population, is joined by Clarice Dalloway’s husband, a prominent member of the government, at a lavish luncheon meeting to launch what was called in real life, although not in Woolf’s novel, the “Get Out” movement.

**World War II and Its Aftermath**

The year 1939 and the start of World War II closed the modernist era. It was the year that saw the publication of Joyce’s *Finnegans Wake*, which the critic I.B. Washburne calls a “monumental prophecy” of postmodernity. The seminal modernist careers of Joyce, Woolf, Yeats, Ford, and Freud all came to an end—as did the social and political order of the previous decades. Throughout the late thirties, the government had engaged in futile efforts at diplomacy as Hitler expanded German control in central Europe. Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain finally detonated Hitler when the Germans invaded Czechoslovakia early in 1939; on September 1, Germany invaded Poland, and within days Britain declared war. In contrast to the “Great War,” this conflict began with few illusions—but with the knowledge that Britain was facing an implacable and better-armed enemy. Unlike the Great War, fought on foreign soil, the new war was his home directly; deng the “Blitz” from July 1940 through 1941, the German Luftwaffe carried out massive bombing raids on London and many other targets around Britain.

During these years, Winston Churchill emerged as a pivotal figure both strategically and morally. First as commander in chief of the navy, and starting in May 1940 as prime minister, he directed British military operations while rallying popular support through stirring speeches and radio addresses. The war had profound effects.
throughout British society, as almost every man—and many women—between the ages of 14 and 64 came to be involved in the war effort, in conditions that weakened old divisions of region and class and that provided the impetus for new levels of government involvement in social planning. At the war's end in September of 1918, Britain emerged victorious, in concert with its allies, in contrast with the United States, though, Britain had suffered enormous civilian casualties and crushing economic losses, both within Great Britain and throughout its far-flung colonies. As much as a quarter of Britain's national wealth had been consumed by the war. The great city of London had undergone horrific bombing during the the Blitz, whose attacks left the face of this world capital as scarred as had the Great Fire three centuries before. Although morale and socially triumphant in its defeat of Nation and fascism, Britain was left shattered economically and exhausted spiritually. Its people had come through the war gallantly, only to face grim conditions at home and political unrest throughout the empire.

The global effort of that war, whose battles were fought not only in Europe, but in Africa, Asia, Latin America, the Middle East, and the Pacific, had forced Britain to draw massively on its colonies for raw materials, money, and soldiers. Since the resistance to the British empire had begun long before World War II, the drafting of millions of already native colonial subjects into the armed forces intensified the tensions and, the corpses, amounting to the surface of the empire. One of the most important political phenomena of the twentieth century was about to hit a depleted
Britain with a vengeance: the decolonisation of most of the conquered globe in the great wave of independence movements that swept the world after 1945. One by one, with greater and lesser degrees of violence and agency, colonies slipped out of Britain's imperial net. From the independence of India (1947) to the independence struggles of Kenya, Nigeria, Zaire, Palestine, Egypt, and many others, Britain experienced the accelerated loss of the largest empire in Western history. Retaining only a handful of Caribbean, Latin American, and Pacific Rim possessions, the empire had radically shrunk. India, Pakistan, Canada, Australia, and a few other countries adopted commonwealth status, remaining commercially linked but becoming essentially independent politically. The empire on which the sun never set was fast becoming largely confined to England, Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland—the latter, especially, an ongoing area of tension and conflict to the present day.

The dicing pace of decolonisation after the war put Britain in a paradoxically modern position: ahead of many other Western countries the unquestioned ability, and the newly questioned right, of Western societies to dominate the globe had finally encountered decisive opposition. Within fifty years Britain found itself transformed from the dominant global power into a relatively small and, for a time, impoverished island nation, no longer a dictator of the world's history, but merely part of it. This dislocation was profoundly registered in British culture, and British writers strove to assess these losses—and to define the new possibilities for a free and more open society that might emerge from the wreckage of empire.

One of the exciting aspects of British literature after World War II, then, is its very incoherence. New players not only joined the game, but in some instances began to call the shots, as the struggle for independence from British colonial control provided vivid and critical literary subjects—"subjects" in the sense of topics as well as those newly empowered writers whose subjectivity emerged on the page. At the same time, the shrinking of empire was turning Great Britain back into a small nation. V.S. naipaul's story Salked in Ecuador serves as a beautiful pro-war parable of Britain's precarious global significance; its main character travels around the Mediterranean by yacht with a group of fellow English citizens, with many if not most of their exotic ports of call under British imperial control. When the man born a pair of blue spectacles in Egypt, he finds that he cannot bear to take them off; the story subtly suggests that they are imperial sunglasses, affording his a way of looking at the world—a gate of control and domination—that ironically destroys his life when he tries to wear them back in England. The British literature that comes after the loss of Suez, of Egypt and Palestine, and Arabia, atveys Salked's West's present parable. The inhabitants of colonised zones don spectacles themselves, and use them to look surprisingly at their colonizers.

A new generation of writers also took on the task of evaluating English culture from inside. John of O'conor's Beautiful parrot to "this accepted isle, this England," in Shakespeare's Richard II had to be rewritten; now what was "this England" to be? In the absence of its colonial possessions, and in the general misery of shorter and rationing after the war, there was suddenly a sharp new scrutiny of British society. Its class-bound hierarchies appeared in an even harsher light, and its failures at home became the source of profound self-examination. Rage and anger accompanied this process of self-awareness, and a generation of literary critics dubbed the "angry young men" would come to meet the failures head-on, often to realistic drama so faithful to its shabby subjects it was called "kitchen sink" drama, after the cold-water flat settings
where the characters played out their rage. Playwrights such as John Osborne (as in the aptly titled Look Back in Anger) and novelists such as Anthony Burgess (A Clockwork Orange) angrily or satirically probed the discrepancy between England’s glorious past and its seemingly stalled present.

A sense of diminishment in the world’s eyes led to a passionate critique of British institutions, particularly its class structure, even where the literature produced was conservative in its looking backward. The extraordinary poet Philip Larkin might be seen as a key figure in this generation of writers. Larkin was a librarian in a rural town for most of his adult life. His poetry takes on the satanic voice of the disenfranchised and the disparaged—speaking not for the poor or the downtrodden but instead articulating the sense of loss and fury of middle and upper-class England, bereft of its historical prestige, impoverished by modern culture. His songs of nature, home, and country in a voice that is lamenting and self-mocking, using赛后 and colloquial poetic diction and Anglo-Saxon expletives. As one of his poems memorably declares:

They fuck you up, your mum and dad.
They may not mean to, but they do.
They fill you with the faults they had.
And add some extra, just for you.

Larkin also wrote several notable novels at this time, among them A Girl in Winter, which explores from a surprisingly feminine and even feminine point of view the struggles of an emigre to Britain who must conceal the trauma her family experienced during the war, in order to “fit in” with a haute and cavalier aristocratic British family. Larkin’s artistry joins that of a host of other postwar writers, mostly male, who write from the center of an England now put off-kilter by the wounding changes after the war.

Profound historical changes were to continue after the war with the commence ment of the Cold War, in which the new world superpowers, the United States and the former Soviet Union, became locked in an intense battle for ideological, political, and economic dominance. Human beings now possessed the technological means to destroy the planet and its inhabitants, and these weapons of destruction were amased by two societies with sharply conflicting goals. Britain along with Western Europe unequivocally aligned itself on the side of the United States, joining in the long fight against communism and Soviet socialism. While not itself a superpower, Britain had to shape its own social goals in light of the Cold War raging around it. A supremely eloquent voice in the articulation of what was at stake was that of the British writer George Orwell, known for his lucid essays on politics and language, including Politics and the English Language, to cite one of his classic works. Immediately after the war Orwell crafted 1984, an enduring parable of Cold War culture. This book envisions a future society in the year 1984 when the infamous “Big Brother” is watching everyone. That tale of a society of totalitarian surveillance was a thinly veiled allegory of the possibilities inherent not only in a Soviet takeover but even in Western societies and their implicit tendencies toward control and bureaucracy. It may be that Orwell was able to be prophetic about the cultural touchstones of the next several decades because as a British writer he wrote from an oblique angle: the colonial relationship of Britain to the United States had become reversed, with Britain almost becoming an outpost of the United States in terms of its Cold War dominance, reminiscent of Britain’s dominance of the fate of the
American colonies in the centuries leading up to the American Revolution, it is sometimes possible to see a clear position outside the exact center—and Britain was in such a position. To the reader of nineteenth-century British literature, the novel, with its characteristic narrative style, is a genre that offers a unique perspective on the social and cultural issues of the time.

The novel as a form of literature has a long history, dating back to the sixteenth century. However, it was during the eighteenth century that the novel began to gain popularity, with authors such as Daniel Defoe and Samuel Richardson. The novel as a form of literature has continued to evolve and develop throughout the centuries, with new forms and subgenres emerging over time.

In the nineteenth century, the novel became a dominant form of literature, with authors such as Jane Austen, Charles Dickens, and George Eliot producing works that continue to be read and studied today. The novel continued to evolve in the twentieth century, with authors such as Virginia Woolf, James Joyce, and F. Scott Fitzgerald producing works that challenged the traditional forms of the novel.

The novel as a form of literature has had a significant impact on society, providing a means for authors to explore and comment on the social, cultural, and political issues of their time. The novel has also been used as a means of entertainment, with many authors producing works that are enjoyed by readers for their narrative style and character development.

The novel continues to be a popular form of literature today, with new authors and subgenres emerging over time. The novel as a form of literature has a long and rich history, and it is likely to continue to evolve and develop in the future.
in works like Lorr, which revolves around a seductive lower-class character who
wears a sexual havens for all the inhabitants of a country estate, male
young and old. In What the Butler Saw, Orrin imagines a monumental statue, bearing
the national "politicus," which is hilariously blown to bits.

The impoverishment of the fifties, aborted in the sixties, at least for the middle
class, as British banking and finance reinvigorated the economy, "Swinging London"
became a household phrase, as British urban culture set the pace in music, fashion,
and style. The Carnaby Street mode of dress and fashion maven like Mary Quant,
Jean Shrimpton and Zandra Rhodes were copied all over the world, worn by Joan Shrimp-
son and Twiggy, who were among the first supermodels. British film came out of a
postwar slump and movies like Morgan and Geogin Girl had huge audiences at home
and in the United States. A delicious excitement invested British popular culture.
and London became a hub of the new once more. The critique of British society
mocked by Joe Orton's work found its double in the youth culture of "Mods" and
"Rockers." Asked which he was, the Beatles' drummer Ringo Starr claimed to sym-
pathize both: "I'm a moocker."

Amid the cultural ferment of the sixties and seventies, successive British govern-
ments struggled with intractable problems of inflation and unemployment, punctu-
ated by frequent strikes by Britain's powerful unions, and rising violence in Northern
Ireland. The generally pro-union government of Harold Wilson (1964-1970) was
followed by the Conservative government of Edward Heath, who put new stress on
private enterprise. A major shift away from the "welfare state," however, came only
at the end of the decade, when Heath was succeeded by the formidable Margaret
Thatcher, the prime minister of Britain for a record twelve years. The daughter of a
lower middle-class family, Thatcher entered into politics when that was an excep-
tionally rare opportunity not only for a woman, but for a person whose father was a
shopkeeper. Trained in a chemist, Thatcher worked long and hard for the Conserva-
tive (Tory) Party, even as Britain was ruled by a succession of Labour and Social-
ist governments. When her chance came to lead England as its Tory prime minister,
Thatcher and her political and ideological colleagues began a governmental revolu-
tion by adopting free-market policies similar to those identified with the Ronald
Reagan school of U.S. Republicanism. Thatcher set about dismantling as much of
the welfare state of postwar modern Britain as she could—and that was a consid-
erable amount.

Margaret Thatcher had an enormous impact on British identity, as well as on
British society. Among the very small number of women worldwide who have ever
wielded such substantial political power—Golda Meir and Indira Gandhi come to
mind as others—Thatcher's polished good looks, her extreme toughness, and her
uncompromising political stance combined to produce a cult of her as the domi-
ninating English government, laying down the rules of what would be good for
Britain's unruly citizens. Thatcher's economic policies emphasized productivity as
never before; under her rule, an entrepreneurial culture began to flourish at the
expense of once-sacred British social entitlements in education, wealth care, and
civic subsidy of the arts and culture. Margaret Thatcher's most breathtaking
question, and the one summing up her philosophy of government, was never in
response to complaints about what was happening to the fabric of British society
and, especially, to its poor, elderly, immigrants, and the mass numbers of the
unemployed. "There is no such thing as society," she declared. What she meant was that governments had no role to play in creating a unitary, egalitarian society. The forces of the unleashed free market, and the will of private individuals, would replace any notion of a social contract or social compact between and among British citizens. There was irony, of course, in Thatcher's seeming to turn her back on members of her own class and those below it, and despite the power and immense reputation she acquired worldwide, there was always scathing and vocal opposition to her within Britain, as she privatized the universities and abolished tenure, made inroads on the National Health Service, dissolved city councils and established poll taxes. Prime Minister Thatcher declared and fought Britain's last imperial war of modern times, against Argentina over the control of the Falkland Islands, and she was fierce opponent of nationalism sentiment among the Scottish and the Welsh, a firm upholder of Britain's right to control Northern Ireland in perpetuity, and strongly against the move toward joining the European Community. Thatcher became an icon in Britain, as well as its longest-governing prime minister: an icon for her certainty, confidence, and her personification of the huge changes she brought about. Though she provoked sharp opposition, her brilliance and energy were never in question, nor was her international influence.

By and large, the literary response to Thatcher's vision of Britain was electrifying in its opposition to everything she stood for. The jolt of anti-Thatcherism galvanized fiction, poetry, drama, visual art, and film. Among the many superb artists honed in the crucible of anti-Thatcherism is the playwright Caryl Churchill. While Churchill was playing her craft well before Maggie's reign began, she is an apt symbol of the passionate creativity unleashed from the later 1970s into the mid-1990s, especially given the gender she shares with Thatcher.
Without question Caryll Churchill is among the foremost playwrights in the world today; such singularity means for her that gender—in addition to class, race, age, sexuality, nationality, ethnicity and the like—is foregrounded in her plays. Churchill’s provocative theatre is designed in part to open up Pandora’s box which Thatcher herself ignored. Her play Top Girls, for example, sets Thatcher’s political rise into collision with a contemporary feminism that questions whether female power is simply identical to masculine power—i.e., just a matter of who’s on top, and who’s at the top. By those lights, Thatcher’s success made her a top girl par excellence, yet the play sets up a dinner party to debate this, a conversation between women from the centuries from around the world, some of them historical figures, others images and icons, legends or myths, all eager to investigate whether or not women’s liberation inheres in a simple exchange of dominance.

Major changes occurred in the last several years of the twentieth century, changes sweeping enough to have diminished Margaret Thatcher’s iconic stature, and to have partially reversed the social revolution she began. At the turn of the century, the Labour Party reclaims control of the country, changing course economically and emphasizing the very social contracts Thatcher had set aside. Despite its refusal to adopt the European common currency, the Euro, Britain is an increasingly pivotal member of the European Community alliance, and its own internal divisions have come productively to the fore. Surprisingly, the twentieth century ended in much the same way as did the nineteenth century for Britain, with a nationwide debate on home rule. In 1886 and again in 1893 the eminent British prime minister William Gladstone fought for the establishment of a separate Irish parliament—thus the term “home rule”—to allow the Irish country, with its differing religion of Roman Catholicism and its unique Gaelic culture, to have control over its own internal affairs. Gladstone and his Liberal Party formed an alliance with the Irish National Party’s members of Parliament, who were led by the great Charles Stewart Parnell, a Protestant Irishman known as “the uncrowned king of Ireland.” Parnell’s political fall due to an extramarital scandal removed a key player in Gladstone’s strategy, and his final attempt in 1893 at voting in home rule failed. This failure led to the Irish revolution, the Irish Civil War, and the continuing violence within Northern Ireland, the six counties still belonging to Britain and occupied by their army.

Britain’s new prime minister, Tony Blair, was elected in 1997 from the Labour Party, breaking the Conservative Party’s eighteen-year hold on the position under Thatcher and her chosen successor, the rather low-key John Major. One of Blair’s main campaign promises was bringing home rule to both Scotland and Wales, regions of Britain with their own language and dialect, their own cultural nesses, and a long history of armed conflict with England. The referendum on the Scottish parliament, with the power to raise and lower income rates within Scotland, and a considerable budget to operate as Scotland chooses, for its schools, health, housing and transport, overwhelmingly passed the popular vote; Wales has voted as well for the creation of a Welsh assembly with many of the same powers and responsibilities. While the Republic of Ireland is now a nation in its own right, Tony Blair’s commitment to the peace talks in Northern Ireland, and to the inclusion of Sinn Fein in those talks, has also provided the first stirrings of political momentum in resolving
the century-old conflict between Northern Irish Protestants who largely wish to remain attached to Britain, and the Northern Irish Catholics who have fought for the autonomy of this part of Ireland.

**Language and Identity**

Complicated questions of language and identity have increasingly come to dominate the most recent phase of British literature. A great paradox of the British postwar period, in its time of imperial shrinkage, involves the fate of the English language. Britain may have been "kicked out" of many of its former colonies as a governing presence, but English was rarely shown the door at the same time. For economic and cultural reasons English as a global language became even more widely dispersed and dominant after World War II. Of course, the spread of U.S. interests has played a role in the hegemony of English. However, the old contours of the British empire continue to shape much of the production of English literature today. In this way, the former British empire has become part of the fabric of British literature. V. S. Naipaul, for example, has long resided in England, but he was born to Indian parents in Trinidad, where the British had deployed Indian labor. His writing is as much in dialogue with the British literary tradition, and an extension of it, as that of any native-born British author. Naipaul's winning of the 2001 Nobel Prize for literature both confirms his international standing and highlights the altered literary geography of England itself.

Salman Rushdie, who is of Pakistani parentage, is another intriguing example of this process of crossing the increasingly porous boundaries of Britishness, as well as a cautionary tale of how powerful literature can be. Rushdie's novels are part of British literature at its modernist best, drawing on the entire English literary tradition, yet informed by a cosmopolitan and a non-Western literary tradition as well. Eight years after he achieved great acclaim for his novel *Midnight's Children* (1981), a book that adapted the "magic realism" of Latin American fiction to the history of Indian independence, Rushdie published *The Satanic Verses*. This novel recounted a magical mystery tour of sorts, the arrival of two South Asian refugees to modern London: one a film star from Bombay, the other a kind of trickster figure. Embedded in this complex tape of migration and identity is a brief dream sequence featuring the prophet Mohammed. In response to this dream-within-a-dream passage, the Iranian democratic government delivered a fatwa—an edict sentencing Rushdie to death for treachery to the religion of Islam. Rushdie did not write the book in Arabic, nor did he write it for a Muslim audience, but that was irrelevant to the clerics who provoked sentence on him before millions of devout adherents. From that time until the late 1990s, Rushdie was forced to live in a form of self-imposed house arrest, guarded by the British government. In an ironic twist, British literature itself had become its prison house of language, its internal exile. It is this tradition that "protects" him as a great writer, and, because of its porous literary borders, is responsible for his predicament.

In recent years British literature has been infused with new life both from foreign-born writers and from new voices bubbling up from within the British Isles, in the shape of Welsh, Scottish, and Irish literary prose and poetry. The Nobel
Priest-winning Irish poet Seamus Heaney is a kind of normal outsider, since, as he has written, he does not consider himself to be part of "British" literature as ordi-
narily defined, while he nonetheless writes English poetry deeply influenced by
English poets from Milton to Wordsworth to Eliot. Some writers have deliberately
taken themselves out of British literature for political and literary reasons, using
the strongest means possible: they have decided to write in a language other than
English. For example, the Kenyan writer Ngugi wa Thiong'o, educated by British
missionaries and then at a British university, whose first published poems were
Wordsworth's 'Daffodils,' now writes in the Kikuyu language, and translates his work
into English. The Irish poet Nuala Ni Dhomhnaill has made a similar decision: she
writes and publishes her poetry first in Irish, and only later translates it into En-
glish as a "second" language.

In recent years British writing has been interrogated from "below," as well as
from "outside": there has been a proliferation of working-class or lower-middle-class
novellists, poets, and screenwriters, many of whom adopt the dialect or anger of
lower-class Welsh, Scottish, and Irish English. The Scottish writer Irvine Welsh is
one example of this cross-fertilization today: his novel Trainspotting received am-
ple literary accolades and was made into a widely seen film that, like the book, cir-
culated throughout Europe, the Americas, and much of the globe. Its picarresque
tale of down-and-out yet lively and unrepentant twenty-somethings trying to find
fulfillment in drugs, travel, and petty crime made Glasgow's knobheads and their
diaspere emblem of the modern condition. When James Kelman won the
Booker Prize for his novel published in England in 1994, there was wide-
spread outrage: the working-class, exploitative speech of his Scottish protagon-
ist was deemed unliterary by many, as at least unreadable and not in conformity
with what was revered as the Queen's English. Poetry too has become a vehicle for
a range of literary experiments, linking music and film to thumbed and unbound,
and often-performed, verse, connecting the popular and the literary. This usage of
revelations and often provocateur writing is primarily the work of younger
writers, and in many instances the novels are almost immediately being turned
into films with international audiences.

In the past hundred years British literature has seen upheavals of aesthetic form,
of geographic location, and of linguistic coveree. What is no longer in question,
oddly enough, despite the current age of cyberpace and interactive media, is
whether literature itself will survive. As Mark Twain once commented dryly after
reading his own obituary in the newspaper: "The reports of my death are greatly ex-
saggerated." The reports of literature's inevitable eclipse at the hands of media
and mass culture have, it seems, been greatly exaggerated too. At this moment, British
literary creativity is fed from many streams, selving up unpredictably, located in un-
expected places. British literature has not merely survived; it remains a vital index of
contemporary social and cultural life, and a crucial indication of the shape of things
to come.

For additional resources on the twentieth century, including a timeline of the period, go
to The Longman Anthology of British Literature Web site at
www.ablongman.com/lambert}