

EXTENSIONS  
OF  
MACMAHON'S SUMS OF  
DIVISORS

GEORGE ANDREWS

JOINT  
WORK  
WITH  
TEWODROS AMDEBERHAN  
AND  
ROBERTO TAURASO  
+  
EARLIER WORK WITH  
SIMON ROSE



*Percy Alexander MacMahon (his presidential portrait for the Royal Astronomical Society)*

MACMAHON'S STARTING POINT IS THAT THE NUMBER OF DIVISORS OF  $n$  IS ALSO THE NUMBER OF PARTITIONS OF  $n$  INTO PARTS OF ONLY ONE SIZE.

EX.  $n=6$

DIVISORS

1	$1+1+1+1+1+1$
2	$2+2+2$
3	$3+3$
6	6

LET  $d(n)$  DENOTE THE NUMBER OF DIVISORS OF  $n$ , AND  $\sigma_1(n)$  THE SUM OF THE DIVISORS. THEN

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} d(n) q^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{(1-q^n)}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_1(n) q^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{(1-q^n)^2}$$

WE DENOTE THE LAST FUNCTION AS  $A_1(q)$ .

MACMAHON CONSIDERS

$$q^{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k}$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k}}{(1 - q^{n_1})(1 - q^{n_2}) \dots (1 - q^{n_k})}$$

AND

$$A_k(q) :=$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k}}{(1 - q^{n_1})^2 (1 - q^{n_2})^2 \dots (1 - q^{n_k})^2}$$

MACMAHON CONJECTURED  
THAT  $A_k(q)$  IS IN THE  
RING OF QUASI-MODULAR  
FORMS, AND HE PROVED  
THIS FOR  $k=2,3,4$ .

THE CONJECTURE  
WAS PROVED BY  
SIMON ROSE AND G.A.  
IN 2013

THE CRUX OF THE PROOF  
RELIES ON THE FOLLOWING:

$T_n(x)$  = the  $n^{\text{th}}$   
Chebychev polynomial

$$T_n(\cos\theta) = \cos(n\theta).$$

DEFINE

$$F(x, q) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{2n+1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) q^{n^2+n},$$

THEN

$$F(x, q) = (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^{-3} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} A_{\lambda}(q^2) x^{2\lambda+1}$$

where

$$(A; q)_{\infty} = (1-A)(1-Aq) \dots (1-Aq^{n-1})$$



# PROOF OF CRUCIAL IDENTITY

$$\text{LET } x = 2 \cos \theta$$

$$F(x) = 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} T_{2n+1}(\cos \theta) q^{n^2+n}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \cos((2n+1)\theta) q^{n^2+n}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} (e^{i(2n+1)\theta} + e^{-i(2n+1)\theta}) q^{n^2+n}$$

$$= e^{i\theta} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(2i\theta)n} q^{n^2+n}$$

# PROOF OF CRUCIAL IDENTITY

$$\text{LET } x = 2 \cos \theta$$

$$F(x) = 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} T_{2n+1}(\cos \theta) q^{n^2+n}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \cos((2n+1)\theta) q^{n^2+n}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} (e^{i(2n+1)\theta} + e^{-i(2n+1)\theta}) q^{n^2+n}$$

$$= e^{i\theta} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(2i\theta)n} q^{n^2+n}$$

$$= e^{i\theta} (-e^{-2i\theta}; q^2)_{\infty} (-q^2 e^{2i\theta}; q^2)_{\infty}$$

$$\times (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}$$

$$= (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}$$

$$\rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2 \cos(2\theta) q^{2n} + q^{4n})$$

$$= (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}$$

$$\rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2 \cos(2\theta) q^{2n} + q^{4n})$$

$$= \chi(q^2; q^2)_{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + (\chi^2 - 2) q^{2n} + q^{4n})$$

$$= (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}$$

$$\rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2 \cos(2\theta) q^{2n} + q^{4n})$$

$$= \chi(q^2; q^2)_{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + (\chi^2 - 2) q^{2n} + q^{4n})$$

$$= \chi(q^2; q^2)_{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} ((1 - q^{2n})^2 + \chi^2 q^{2n})$$

$$= (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}$$

$$\rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2 \cos(2\theta) q^{2n} + q^{4n})$$

$$= \chi(q^2; q^2)_{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + (\chi^2 - 2) q^{2n} + q^{4n})$$

$$= \chi(q^2; q^2)_{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} ((1 - q^{2n})^2 + \chi^2 q^{2n})$$

$$= \chi(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^3 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{\chi^2 q^{2n}}{(1 - q^{2n})^2} \right)$$

$$= (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}$$

$$\rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2 \cos(2\theta) q^{2n} + q^{4n})$$

$$= \chi(q^2; q^2)_{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + (\chi^2 - 2) q^{2n} + q^{4n})$$

$$= \chi(q^2; q^2)_{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} ((1 - q^{2n})^2 + \chi^2 q^{2n})$$

$$= \chi(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^3 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{\chi^2 q^{2n}}{(1 - q^{2n})^2} \right)$$

$$= (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^3 \sum_{k \geq 0} A_k(q^2) \chi^{2k+1}$$

RECENTLY, AMDEBERHAN  
TAURASO AND I  
EXPLORED CONGRUENCES

$$A_k(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} MO(k, n) q^n$$

SAMPLE OF CONGRUENCES

$$MO(2, n) \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ IF } 3 \nmid n$$

$$MO(2, 5n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$MO(3, 7n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$



WE NOW COME TO THE  
MOST RECENT  
(UNPUBLISHED) RESULTS.

LET US CONSIDER

$$U_t(a; q) = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_t} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_t}}{(1 + aq^{n_1} + q^{2n_1}) \dots (1 + aq^{n_t} + q^{2n_t})}$$

THE PREVIOUS WORK WAS  
FOR

$$a = -2$$

HOWEVER, ALL THE  
CASES WHERE

$$1 + ax + x^2 = 0$$

HAS ROOTS OF UNITY  
AS ROOTS ARE OF  
INTEREST. I.E.

$$a = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$$

LET US START WITH

$$a=1, t=2$$

$$U_2(1; q) = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2}}{(1 + q^{n_1} + q^{2n_1})(1 + q^{n_2} + q^{2n_2})}$$

$$= q^3 +$$

LET US START WITH

$$a=1, t=2$$

$$U_2(1; q) = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2}}{(1 + q^{n_1} + q^{2n_1})(1 + q^{n_2} + q^{2n_2})}$$

$$= q^3 + 3q^6 +$$

LET US START WITH

$$a=1, t=2$$

$$U_2(1; q) = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2}}{(1 + q^{n_1} + q^{2n_1})(1 + q^{n_2} + q^{2n_2})}$$

$$= q^3 + 3q^6 + 4q^9 +$$

LET US START WITH

$$a=1, t=2$$

$$U_2(1; q) = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2}}{(1 + q^{n_1} + q^{2n_1})(1 + q^{n_2} + q^{2n_2})}$$

$$= q^3 + 3q^6 + 4q^9 + 7q^{12}$$

$$+ 6q^{15} + 12q^{18} + 8q^{21}$$

+ .....

OFF TO OEIS. FIND

1, 3, 4, 7, 6, 12, 8, ...

  
UP COMES

A000203

$\sigma_1(n)$

THE SUM OF THE  
DIVISORS OF  $n$ .

SO IMMEDIATELY  
 WE HAVE A WONDERFUL  
 MYSTERY.

DOES

$$\sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2}}{(1 + q^{n_1} + q^{2n_1})(1 + q^{n_2} + q^{2n_2})}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{3n}}{(1 - q^{3n})^2} \quad ?$$



SO IMMEDIATELY  
WE HAVE A WONDERFUL  
MYSTERY.

DOES

$$\sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2}}{(1 + q^{n_1} + q^{2n_1})(1 + q^{n_2} + q^{2n_2})}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{3n}}{(1 - q^{3n})^2} ?$$

YES! WE WILL  
PROVIDE A  
COMBINATORIAL PROOF.

TO PROVE

$$\sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2}}{(1 + q^{n_1} + q^{2n_1})(1 + q^{n_2} + q^{2n_2})}$$
$$= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{3n}}{(1 - q^{3n})^2}$$

COMBINATORIALLY,  
WE REQUIRE A FEW  
PRELIMINARIES.

$$\sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2}}{(1 + q^{n_1} + q^{2n_1})(1 + q^{n_2} + q^{2n_2})}$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2} \frac{q^{n_1 + n_2} (1 - q^{n_1}) (1 - q^{n_2})}{(1 - q^{3n_1}) (1 - q^{3n_2})}$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2} \frac{(q^{n_1} - q^{2n_1})(q^{n_2} - q^{2n_2})}{(1 - q^{3n_1})(1 - q^{3n_2})}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 1} (P_0(n) - P_1(n)) q^n$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n_2} \left( \sum_{f \geq 0} q^{(3f+1)n_1} - \sum_{f \geq 0} q^{(3f+2)n_1} \right)$$

$$\times \left( \sum_{f \geq 0} q^{(3f+1)n_2} - \sum_{f \geq 0} q^{(3f+2)n_2} \right)$$

$P_0(n)$  DENOTES THE  
NUMBER OF PARTITIONS  
OF  $n$  INTO TWO DIFFERENT  
PARTS (EACH MAY BE  
REPEATED)  $n_1$  (APPEARING  
 $f_1$  TIMES) AND  $n_2$   
(APPEARING  $f_2$  TIMES)

$$3 \nmid f_1$$

$$3 \nmid f_2$$

$$f_1 \equiv f_2 \pmod{3}$$

$P_1(n)$  DENOTES THE  
NUMBER OF PARTITIONS  
OF  $n$  INTO TWO DIFFERENT  
PARTS (EACH MAY BE  
REPEATED)  $n_1$  (APPEARING  
 $f_1$  TIMES) AND  $n_2$   
(APPEARING  $f_2$  TIMES)

$$3 \nmid f_1$$

$$3 \nmid f_2$$

$$f_1 \not\equiv f_2 \pmod{3}$$

WE WANT TO PROVE

$$P_0(n) - P_1(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 3 \nmid n \\ \sigma_1\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) & \text{if } 3 \mid n \end{cases}$$

IN THE FOLLOWING

$$n_1^{f_1} n_2^{f_2}$$

DENOTES THE PARTITION  
OF  $n$  IN WHICH THE  
PART  $n_1$  APPEARS  $f_1$   
TIMES AND  $n_2$   
APPEARS  $f_2$  TIMES.

THE FOLLOWING IS  
AN INJECTION OF  
 $\mathcal{P}_1$  PARTITIONS INTO  $\mathcal{P}_0$   
PARTITIONS ( $f_1 \not\equiv f_2 \pmod{3}$ )

$$n_1^{f_1} n_2^{f_2} \rightarrow (n_1 + n_2)^{f_1} n_2^{f_2 - f_1}$$

(WHERE W.L.O.G.  $f_2 > f_1$ )





THE FOLLOWING IS  
 AN INJECTION OF  
 $\mathcal{P}_1$  PARTITIONS INTO  $\mathcal{P}_0$   
 PARTITIONS ( $f_1 \not\equiv f_2 \pmod{3}$ )

$$n_1^{f_1} n_2^{f_2} \rightarrow (n_1 + n_2)^{f_1} n_2^{f_2 - f_1}$$

(WHERE W.L.O.G.  $f_2 > f_1$ )

HERE IS THE  
 INVERSE MAP

$$n_1^{f_1} n_2^{f_2} \rightarrow (n_2 - n_1)^{f_1} n_2^{f_1 + f_2}$$

(WHERE W.L.O.G.  $n_2 > n_1$ )

WHOOOPS! IT APPEARS  
WE HAVE PRODUCED  
A BIJECTION WHICH  
WOULD IMPLY

$$P_0(n) = P_1(n)$$

ALWAYS (CONTRADICTING

$$P_0(3) = 1 \quad P_1(3) = 0).$$



WHOOOPS! IT APPEARS  
WE HAVE PRODUCED  
A BIJECTION WHICH  
WOULD IMPLY

$$P_0(n) = P_1(n)$$

ALWAYS (CONTRADICTING

$$P_0(3) = 1 \quad P_1(3) = 0).$$

---

SO WHEN AND HOW  
CAN THE BIJECTION  
FAIL?

THE ONLY CASE OF  
FAILURE IS WHEN

$$n_2 = d \quad n_1 = 2d$$

BECAUSE THEN THE  
INVERSE MAP DOES  
NOT GIVE A PARTITION  
WITH TWO DIFFERENT  
PARTS.

SO LET US EXAMINE

$$(2d)^{f_1} d^{f_2}$$

WITH  $f_1 \equiv f_2 \pmod{3}$

IN THIS CASE

$$n = f_1(2d) + f_2 d$$

$$= d(2f_1 + f_2)$$

$$\equiv d \cdot 3f_1$$

$$\equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

THUS THERE IS A  
BIJECTION IF  $3 \nmid n$ . SO,

$$P_0(n) - P_1(n) = 0 \quad \text{IF } 3 \nmid n$$

WHAT ABOUT THE CASE  
 $n = 3\lambda$ ?

HOW MANY WAYS  
CAN WE SOLVE

$$f_1(2d) + f_2 d = 3\lambda$$

OR

$$2f_1 + f_2 = 3\frac{\lambda}{d}$$

THIS IS UNIQUELY  
SOLVABLE FOR  $f_1$   
PROVIDED

$$3\frac{\lambda}{d} - f_2$$

IS EVEN.

THUS  $f_2$  MAY BE  
CHOSEN FROM

1 OR 2, 4 OR 5, 7 OR 8,

...  $3\frac{v}{d} - 2$  OR  $3\frac{v}{d} - 1$ .

I.E. THERE ARE  $\frac{v}{d}$   
CHOICES FOR  $f_2$

HENCE FOR EACH  
DIVISOR  $d$  OF  $\nu$   
(REMEMBER  $n=3\nu$ )  
THERE ARE  $\frac{\nu}{d}$

$P_0$  PARTITIONS THAT  
HAVE NO PRE-IMAGE  
IN  $P_1$



HENCE FOR EACH  
DIVISOR  $d$  OF  $\nu$   
(REMEMBER  $n=3\nu$ )  
THERE ARE  $\frac{\nu}{d}$

$P_0$  PARTITIONS THAT  
HAVE NO PRE-IMAGE  
IN  $P_1$

HENCE

$$P_0(3\nu) - P_1(3\nu) = \sum_{d|\nu} \frac{\nu}{d}$$
$$= \sum_{d|\nu} d = \sigma_1(\nu) = \sigma_1\left(\frac{n}{3}\right).$$

EX.  $n=7$

$P_i$		$P_o$
511	→	61
331	→	43
322	→	52
21111	→	31111

EX.  $n = 9$

711  $\rightarrow$  81

522  $\rightarrow$  72

441  $\rightarrow$  54

41111  $\rightarrow$  51111

63

22221

2211111

21111111

ONE OF THE VERY  
INTERESTING ASPECTS  
OF THIS PROOF IS  
THAT WE SEE  
CONGRUENCE  
CONDITIONS ON THE  
FREQUENCIES OF THE  
PARTS RATHER THAN  
ON THE PARTS  
THEMSELVES.

IT IS UNLIKELY THAT  
THIS IS THE ONLY  
THEOREM OF THIS  
NATURE IN THE  
THEORY OF PARTITIONS,

1962

IT IS UNLIKELY THAT  
THIS IS THE ONLY  
THEOREM OF THIS  
NATURE IN THE  
THEORY OF PARTITIONS.  
ESPECIALLY WHEN  
WE WRITE PARTITIONS AS

$$n = f_1 n_1 + f_2 n_2 + \dots + f_j n_j$$

REVEALING THE  
SYMMETRIC ROLE  
PLAYED BY FREQUENCIES  
 $f_i$  AND PARTS  $n_i$

THERE IS MUCH MORE  
TO THE STORY.

THE  $U_{\pm}(a; q)$  ARE  
ALL QUASI-MODULAR  
FORMS FOR

$$a = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2.$$

THERE IS MUCH MORE  
TO THE STORY.

THE  $U_t(a; q)$  ARE  
ALL QUASI-MODULAR  
FORMS FOR

$$a = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2.$$

THERE ARE OTHER  
CONGRUENCES.

FOR EXAMPLE

$$M_0(1; t; 3n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

WHERE

$$U_t(a; q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} M_0(a; t; n) q^n.$$



THERE ARE RELATED  
PROJECTS.

K. ONO ET AL. HAVE  
RELATED

$M_0(-2; t, n)$

TO THE WORK OF  
MATIYASEVICH ON  
HILBERT'S 10<sup>th</sup>  
PROBLEM.

THEY HAVE SHOWN  
THAT THERE ARE  
LINEAR COMBINATIONS  
OF THE  $MO(-2; t, n)$   
WHOSE POSITIVE  
VALUES ARE ALWAYS  
PRIME.

THANK  
YOU